arXiv:math/0309459v1 [math.AP] 29 Sep 2003

SHARP TRACE THEOREMS FOR NULL HYPERSURFACES ON EINSTEIN METRICS WITH FINITE CURVATURE FLUX

SERGIU KLAINERMAN AND IGOR RODNIANSKI

Abstract. The main objective of the paper is to prove a geometric version of sharp trace and product estimates on null hypersurfaces with finite curvature flux. These estimates play a crucial role to control the geometry of such null hypersurfaces. The paper is based on an invariant version of the classical Littlewood -Paley theory, in a noncommutative setting, defined via heat flow on surfaces.

1. Introduction

To motivate the problems studied in this paper we start with the simplest example of a sharp trace theorem. This applies to smooth functions $f = f(t, x^1, x^2)$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with I an interval in $\mathbb{R}$, for simplicity $I = [0, 1]$. We denote by $\| \ \|_{L^2}$ the standard L^2 norm on $I \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and by $\| \ \|_{H^k}$, k positive integer, the usual norm of the Sobolev space $H^k(I \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \Big(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t,x)|^2 \, dt \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \|f\|_{H^k} = \|f\|_{H^k(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \Big(\sum_{i+j\le k} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t^i \ \nabla^j f(t,x)|^2 dt \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

with ∇^j the partial derivatives of order j with respect to the x variables. We shall also use the mixed norm notation,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q_t L^p_x} &= \Big(\int_0^1 \|f(t\,,\,\cdot)\|^q_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \|f\|_{L^p_x L^q_t} &= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|f(\cdot\,,\,x)\|^p_{L^q_t(I)} dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

with the obvious modifications when either $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$.

Proposition 1.1. *The following inequality holds for an arbitrary, smooth, scalar function $f = f(t, x^1, x^2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$:*

$$\|\partial_t f\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{1}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J10

The first author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0070696. The second author is a Clay Prize Fellow and is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-01007791.

The inequality can be easily derived with the help of the $W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset L^\infty$ imbedding and a standard integration by parts. Observe that the estimate is false if one replaces ∂_t with the other partial derivatives $\partial_{x^1}, \partial_{x^2}$.

Using the a standard Littlewood-Paley theory it is not too difficult to prove a stronger version of (1) in Besov spaces[1].

$$\| \int_I |\partial_t f|^2 dt \|_{B^1_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|f\|^2_{H^2(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{2}$$

Here, for a function $g = g(x^1, x^2)$,

$$\|g\|_{B^\theta_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{k\theta} \|P_k g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|P_{<0} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

denotes the standard, inhomogeneous, Besov norm in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\theta \geq 0$ and P_k the usual Littlewood Paley (LP) projections, see for example [Stein1], [Stein2] and[B] for applications to paradifferential calculus. Also, $P_{<0} = \sum_{k<0} P_k$. Observe that,

$$\|g\|_{B^1_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\nabla g\|_{B^0_{2,1}} + \|g\|_{L^2}$$

and therefore (2) follows easily from its following bilinear version:

Proposition 1.2 (Sharp bilinear trace)**.** *The following inequality holds for an arbitrary, smooth, scalar function g, h on $I \times \mathbb{R}^2$:*

$$\| \int_I \partial_t g \cdot h \|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{H^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} \cdot \|h\|_{H^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{3}$$

In addition to the bilinear sharp trace estimate (3) we also signal the following related estimate,

Proposition 1.3 (Sharp integrated product)**.** *The following inequality holds for an arbitrary, smooth, scalar function g, h on $I \times \mathbb{R}^2$:*

$$\| \int_I g \cdot h \|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \big(\|g\|_{H^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \big) \cdot \|h\|_{L^2_t B^0_x} \tag{4}$$

where,

$$\|h\|_{L^q_t B^0_x} = \big(\int_0^1 \|h(t,\cdot)\|^q_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} dt \big)^{1/q}.$$

Also,

$$\|g \cdot \int_0^t h\|_{L^2_t B^0_x} \lesssim \big(\|g\|_{H^1(I\times\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \big) \cdot \|h\|_{L^1_t B^0_x} \tag{5}$$

Remark 1.4. Proposition 1.3 is intimately related with the following well known estimate for functions in $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\|f \cdot g\|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \big(\|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \big) \cdot \|h\|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{6}$$

[1]This is not just a minor technical improvement. It turns out that this type of Besov space improvement of the sharp trace estimate plays a fundamental role in [Kl-Rodn1].

Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 can be easily reformulated in terms of functions defined on null hypersurfaces in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$. For simplicity consider the standard null hypersurface defined by the equation $u = -1$ where u is the optical function $u = t - r$, $r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 (x^i)^2}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the portion of this null hypersurface contained between $t = 0$ and $t = 1$. An arbitrary null geodesic $\Gamma = \Gamma_\omega$ along $\mathcal{H}$ can be parametrized by $(t, t\omega)$ where ω is a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Given a scalar function f on $\mathcal{H}$ we denote by $\int_{\Gamma_\omega} f = \int_0^1 f(t, t\omega)dt$. We denote by $\nabla_L f$ its derivative along the null geodesic, i.e. $\nabla_L f = \frac{d}{dt} f(t, t\omega)$. We also denote by ∇f the angular derivatives of f and by $\overline{\nabla} f$ all tangential derivatives of f along $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. $\overline{\nabla} f = (\nabla_L f, \nabla f)$. To adapt proposition 1.2 to the case of the null hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$ we need to define a Besov space $B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ analogous to $B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\mathbb{S}^2$ the standard unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$. LP projections can, of course, be easily defined locally, in coordinate charts, and extended to all of $\mathbb{S}^2$ by a partition of unity. Besov spaces on $\mathbb{S}^2$ can then be formally introduced as before. A more intrinsic way to define such spaces would be based on spherical harmonic decomposition. Yet another way to achieve the same result is to introduce a definition of LP projections based on a heat flow for the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator on the leaves of the geodesic sphere foliation of $\mathcal{H}$ given by the level surfaces of the standard time function t, see (12). This is in fact the approach we develop here to deal with null hypersurfaces in non flat spacetimes.

The propositions below are straightforward adaptations of propositions 1.2, 1.3 to the case of the null hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$. The norms used in the proposition are,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} &= \Big(\int_0^t \int |g(t, t\omega)|^2 dt d\omega\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\mathcal{N}_1(g) &= \|\nabla_L f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} \\
\|f\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2} \Big(\int_{\Gamma_\omega} |f|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} &= \Big(\int \|f(t, t\,\cdot)\|^2_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^2)} dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.5. *Let g, h be arbitrary smooth functions on $\mathcal{H}$. then the following estimates hold true, uniformly in $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$,*

$$\|\int_{\Gamma_\omega} (\nabla_L g \cdot h)\|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1(g) \cdot \mathcal{N}_1(h) \tag{7}$$

$$\|\int_{\Gamma_\omega} g \cdot h\|_{B^0_{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^2)} \lesssim \big(\mathcal{N}_1(g) + \|g\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \cdot \|h\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \tag{8}$$

$$\|g \cdot \int_{\Gamma_\omega} h\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \lesssim \big(\mathcal{N}_1(g) + \|g\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \cdot \|h\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \tag{9}$$

As a corollary of (7) *we have the standard sharp trace theorem,*

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\Gamma_\omega} |\nabla_L f|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{N}_2(f)^2 \tag{10}$$

with,

$$\mathcal{N}_2(f) = \|\nabla_L^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\nabla \nabla_L f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \mathcal{N}_1(f)$$

The goal of this paper is to adapt the estimates (7), (8) and (10) to null hypersurfaces in curved backgrounds, verifying the Einstein-Vacuum equations, and verifying the *bounded curvature flux*(BCF) condition of [Kl-Rodn1]. These results, which formed the content of the main lemma in [Kl-Rodn1], played a crucial role in that paper. We recall that the main result of [Kl-Rodn1] was to prove that the (BCF) condition suffices to control the local geometry of null hypersurfaces. The (BCF) condition comes naturally in connection with the *bounded L^2 curvature* conjecture and the result of [Kl-Rodn1] is a crucial ingredient in the resolution of that conjecture.

To illustrate the techniques needed in our work we give, in section 2, a quick proof of propositions 1.2 and 1.3. They are based on the properties of the standard, euclidean, Littlewood -Paley(LP) projections P_k which we recall below.

The LP-projections $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, acting on functions $g(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, are defined as Fourier multipliers according to the formula,

$$(P_k f)\hat{}(\xi) = \chi(2^{-k}\xi) f\hat{}(\xi)$$

with $f\hat{}(\xi)$ denoting the Fourier transform of f and $\chi(\xi) = \chi(|\xi|)$ a real smooth test function supported in $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2$. Moreover, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$, $\sum_k \chi(2^{-k}\xi) = 1$. We denote $P_J = \sum_{k\in J} P_k$ for all intervals $J \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

The following properties are at the heart of the classical LP theory:

LP 1. *Almost Orthogonality:* The operators P_k are selfadjoint and verify $P_{k_1}P_{k_2} = 0$ for all pairs of integers such that $|k_1 - k_2| \geq 2$. In particular,

$$\|f\|_{L^2} \approx \sum_k \|P_k f\|_{L^2}$$

LP 2. *L^p-boundedness:* For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and any interval $J \subset \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|P_J f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p} \tag{11}$$

LP 3. *Finite band property:* We can write any partial derivative $\nabla P_k f$ in the form $\nabla P_k f = 2^k \tilde{P}_k f$ where $\tilde{P}_k$ are the LP-projections associated with a slightly different test function $\tilde{\chi}$ and verify the property **LP2**. Thus, in particular, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P_k f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim 2^k \|f\|_{L^p} \\ 2^k \|P_k f\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

LP 4. *Bernstein inequalities.* For any $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have the Bernstein inequality and its dual,

$$\|P_k f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^k \|f\|_{L^2}, \qquad \|P_k f\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^k \|f\|_{L^1}$$

LP 5. *Commutation properties* Given functions $f(t,x)$, if we denote by $P_k f$ the action of the LP projections in x, we have, trivially

$$\partial_t P_k f = P_k \partial_t f, \qquad P_k \int_0^1 f dt = \int_0^1 P_k f dt$$

The proof of proposition 1.2 is a typical illustration of the power of paradifferential calculus. Clearly one needs, somehow, to integrate by parts, but because of the symmetry of the estimate (3) with respect to f, g one does not achieve anything by a direct integration by parts. The idea is to decompose both functions $f = \sum_{k'} P_{k'} f$ and $g = \sum_{k''} P_{k''} g$ in the bilinear expression $\int_0^1 \nabla_L f \cdot g$ and integrate by parts only those integral terms $\int_0^t (\partial_t f_{k'} \cdot g_{k''})$ where $k' \geq k''$. This illustrates a general philosophy; the paradifferential calculus gives us the flexibility to deal differently with various parts of nonlinear expressions and thus allows us to separate and focus on various difficulties of the problem at hand. It is truly a *divide and conquer strategy.*

In order to extend the results mentioned below to general null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}$ we need to replace the LP theory based on Fourier transform with a more intrinsic geometric definition. Given a Riemannian manifold M we can define LP projections P_k according to the formula,

$$P_k F = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) U(\tau) F d\tau \tag{12}$$

where $m_k(\tau) = 2^{2k} m(2^{2k}\tau)$ and $m(\tau)$ is a Schwartz function with a finite number of vanishing moments. The operator $U(\tau)F$ denotes the unique solution of the heat flow on S with initial data provided by F,

$$\partial_\tau U(\tau)F - \Delta U(\tau)F = 0, \quad U(0)F = F.$$

where Δ denotes the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator for tensors, $\Delta = \gamma^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$. We apply this definition to the 2-dimensional leaves of the geodesic foliation on our null hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$. Under some simple assumptions on the geometry of these leaves we prove, in [Kl-Rodn2], a sequence of properties of the LP projections similar to **LP1**, **LP4**. Some of our results are, of course, weaker. For example the pointwise version of the almost orthogonality property **LP5** cannot possible be true. We can replace it however by a sufficiently robust L^p analogue of it. We also find satisfactory analogues for **LP2**-**LP3**, though we have to be quite careful about what we can in fact prove with our very limited regularity assumptions. For example, we can prove a version of the Bernstein inequalities of **LP4** for scalars f but not for tensorfields. Of course, **LP5** plays a fundamental role in the proof of propositions 1.2, 1.3. Such a property, however, does not hold for the nonflat backgrounds we deal with in our work. This lack of commutativity compounded by the weak regularity properties of the foliation, consistent with the (BCF) assumption, leads to considerable conceptual and technical difficulties.

Once we have set up a satisfactory geometric LP theory we can formulate and prove results, on non flat backgrounds, similar to those of proposition 1.5. We prefer to state these results only after a thorough discussion of the geometric framework and the properties of the intrinsic LP projections.

We give complete proofs of both propositions 1.2, 1.3 in section 2 in order to prepare the reader for the methods used in the non flat situation.

In section 3 we discuss the main geometric notions concerning null hypersurfaces in a curved background. We also introduce our main assumptions **BA1**, **BA2**, **WS**, **K1** and **K2**. All these assumptions are consistent with the bounded curvature flux (BCF) condition of [Kl-Rodn1]. The main results of this paper depend only on these assumptions. We also introduce our geometric LP projections and state their main properties, proved in [Kl-Rodn2]. Finally we define our main Besov spaces and recall some of the properties proved in [Kl-Rodn2].

In section 4 we state a sequence of theorems which extend the results of (1.5) to nonflat backgrounds. These results were stated in section 5 of [Kl-Rodn1], and played an essential role in the proof of the main result there.

The remaining sections of the paper contain the proofs of these results.

2. Proof of propositions 1.2 and 1.3

We shall make use of the properties **P1**–**P5** of the classical LP projections mentioned in the introduction.

2.1. **Proof of proposition 1.2.** By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \int_0^1 \partial_t g \cdot h dt \|_{B^0_{2,1}} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \| P_k \int_0^1 \partial_t g \cdot h dt \|_{L^2_x} + \| P_{<0} \int_0^1 \partial_t g \cdot h dt \|_{L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_k \| P_k \int_0^1 \partial_t g \cdot h dt \|_{L^2_x} \end{aligned}$$

We decompose, with respect to the x variables, $f = \sum_k f_k$, $g = \sum_k g_k$ with $f_k = P_k f$, $g_k = P_k g$, and write,

$$P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g \cdot h) = A_k + B_k + C_k + D_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{<k} \cdot h_{\geq k}, \qquad B_k = P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{\geq k} \cdot h_{<k} \\ C_k &= P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{<k} \cdot h_{<k}, \qquad D_k = P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{\geq k} \cdot h_{\geq k} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Observe that P_k commute with the integral $\int_0^1$ and that C_k is essentially[2] zero. Thus we only have to estimate A_k, B_k, D_k.

[2]With the possible exception of a finite, $\lesssim 8$, number of terms which can be made part of either A_k, B_k, D_k. This corresponds to the classical trichotomy formula and is due to **LP1.)**

1.) *Estimates for $A_k = P_k \int_0^t (\partial_t g)_{<k} \cdot h_{\geq k}$:* We use first **LP2** followed by the the direct Bernstein inequality **LP4**, and then **LP3**, **LP2**. We also use **LP5** freely.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\partial_t g)_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\partial_t g)_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_x} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'} \int_0^1 \|(\partial_t g)_{k'}\|_{L^2_x} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'-k''} \|(\partial_t g)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, writing $2^{k'-k''} = 2^{(k'-k)/2+(k'-k'')/2} \lesssim 2^{(k'-k)/2+(k-k'')/2}$, summing over k and using **LP1**,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k\geq 0} \|A_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{(k'-k'')/2}\, 2^{(k-k'')/2} \|(\partial_t g)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k'<k''} 2^{(k'-k'')/2} \|(\partial_t g)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_t g\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.) *Estimates for $D_k = P_k \int_0^t (\partial_t F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{\geq k}$:* We write, $D_k = D_k^1 + D_k^2$ where,

$$D_k^1 = \sum_{k\leq k'\leq k''} P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}, \qquad D_k^2 = \sum_{k\leq k'<k''} P_k \int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{k''} \cdot h_{k'}$$

The term D_k^1 can be treated in a straightforward manner, without integration by parts. We start by using the dual Bernstein inequality **LP4**, followed by the finite band property **LP3**,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_k^1\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k\leq k'\leq k''} \|\int_0^1 (\partial_t g)_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\|_{L^1_x} \lesssim 2^k \sum_{k\leq k'\leq k''} \|\partial_t g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k-k''} \|\partial_t g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing in k and using the L^2 orthogonality property **LP1**

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k\geq 0} \|D_k^1\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k \sum_{k\leq k'<k''} 2^{k-k''} \|\partial_t g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k'<k''} 2^{k'-k''} \|\partial_t g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t g\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla h\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

To treat D_k^2 we need to transfer the ∂_t derivative from the low frequency term $g_{k'}$ to the high frequency term $h_{k''}$. After integration by parts we can treat the resulting integral exactly as D_k^1, the only terms we need to worry about are the boundary terms $\|I_k(1) - I_k(0)\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \|I_k(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} = \|I_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$, where

$$I_k = \sum_{k\leq k'<k''} P_k\big(g_{k''} \cdot h_{k'}\big).$$

To treat them we need the following

Lemma 2.2. *For any k, k', k'', we have*

$$\|P_k\big(g_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{4}\left(|k'-k|+|k''-k|\right)} \|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1}$$

Using the lemma we derive,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k \|D_k^2\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k \sum_{k\le k'<k''} 2^{k-k''}\|\partial_t g_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|\nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &+ \sum_k \sum_{k\le k'<k''} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(|k'-k|+|k''-k|\right)}\|g_{k''}\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{H^1}\\ &\le \sum_{k'<k''} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|k'-k''|}\|g_{k''}\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{H^1}\lesssim \|g\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h\|_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

3.) *Estimates for* $B_k = P_k\int_0^t(\partial_t g)_{\ge k}\cdot h_{<k}$*:* We start by decomposing,

$$B_k = \sum_{k'<k\le k''} P_k\int_0^t(\partial_t g)_{k''}\cdot h_{k'}$$

Integrating by parts and using lemma 2.2 to estimate the boundary terms, we derive, we obtain, with $J_k = \sum_{k'<k\le k''} P_k\big(g_{k''}\cdot h_{k'}\big)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\le k''}\|\int_0^1 g_{k''}\cdot(\partial_t h)_{k'}\|_{L^2_x} + \|J_k\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\\ \|J_k\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\le k''} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(|k'-k|+|k''-k|\right)}\|g_{k''}\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k'<k\le k''}\|\int_0^1 g_{k''}\cdot(\partial_t h)_{k'}\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\le k''}\|g_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|(\partial_t h)_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\le k''} 2^{k'-k''}\|\nabla g_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|(\partial_t h)_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing as before,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k\|B_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k\sum_{k'<k\le k''} 2^{k'-k''}\|\nabla g_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|(\partial_t h)_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &+ \sum_k\sum_{k'<k\le k''} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(|k'-k|+|k''-k|\right)}\|g_{k''}\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{H^1}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k''} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|k'-k''|}\|g_{k''}\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{H^1}\lesssim\|g\|_{H^1}\cdot\|h\|_{H^1}\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Proof of lemma 2.2: By symmetry it suffices to consider the following cases:

$$k'\ge k''\ge k,\quad k'\ge k>k'',\quad k>k'\ge k''$$

Observe that the last case, which we call "low-low" interaction, cannot occur. We are thus left with only two cases:

Case 1. $k' \geq k'' \geq k$

$$\|P_k\big(g_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^k \|g_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^1_x} \lesssim 2^k \|g_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \|h_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

We now make use of the simple calculus inequality,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|\partial_t f\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|f\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\partial_t g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k'/2}\big(\|\partial_t g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x}\big) \\
&\lesssim 2^{k'/2}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_t L^2_x}\big(\|\partial_t g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|g_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k'/2}\|g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1} \cdot \|g_{k'}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1} = 2^{k'/2}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|g_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{k'/2}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1}, \qquad \|h_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{k''/2}\|h_{k''}\|_{H^1} \tag{14}$$

Therefore, since $k' \geq k'' \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k\big(g_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim 2^{k - \frac{k'}{2} - \frac{k''}{2}}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1} \\
&= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\big(|k'-k| + |k''-k|\big)}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case 2. $k' \geq k > k''$ Using once more the estimates (14),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k\big(g_{k'} \cdot h_{k''}\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \|g_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim 2^{k''}\|g_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k''} 2^{-\frac{k'}{2} - \frac{k''}{2}}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1} = 2^{(k''-k')/2}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1} \\
&= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\big(|k'-k| + |k''-k|\big)}\|g_{k'}\|_{H^1} \cdot \|h_{k''}\|_{H^1}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

2.3. **Proof of proposition 1.3.**

Proof of (4): As before we have to estimate the sum,

$$\sum_k \|P_k \int_0^1 g \cdot h \, dt\|_{L^2_x}$$

For each integer k we decompose, $h = h_{<k} + h_{\geq k}$ with $h_{<k} = \sum_{k'<k} h_{k'}$, and $h_{\geq k} = \sum_{k' \geq k} h_{k'}$. Thus,

$$P_k \int_0^1 g \cdot h \, dt = P_k\big(\int_0^1 g \cdot h_{\geq k}\big) + P_k\big(\int_0^1 g \cdot h_{<k}\big) = A_k + B_k$$

1.) *Estimates for B_k.* Observe that[3] $P_k(g\cdot h_{<k}) = P_k(g_{\geq k}\cdot h_{<k})$. Thus, using freely **LP5**, $B_k = P_k\big(\int_0^1 g_{\geq k}\cdot h_{<k}\big)$. We now rely on the Bernstein and finite band inequalities **LP3** , **LP4**,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\int_0^1 g_{\geq k}\cdot h_{<k}\|_{L^2_x} \leq \|g_{\geq k}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{<k}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim 2^k\sum_{k''<k\leq k'}\|g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^\infty_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k''<k\leq k'}2^{k''-k'}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k\|B_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k\sum_{k''<k\leq k'}2^{k''-k'}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k''\leq k'}2^{\frac{k''-k'}{2}}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\lesssim\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}.\end{aligned}$$

2.) *Estimates for A_k.* To estimate A_k we have to be more careful. According [4] to **LP3**, $\Delta P_k f = 2^{2k}P_kf$

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_k\|_{L^2_x} &= \|P_k\big(\int_0^1 g\cdot\Delta h_{\geq k}\big)\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\int_0^1 g\cdot\Delta h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla\int_0^1(g\cdot\nabla h_{k'})\big)\|_{L^2_x}+\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\int_0^1\nabla g\cdot\nabla h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_x}\\ &= J_1+J_2\end{aligned}$$

Now, using **LP3**,

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &= \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla\int_0^1(g\cdot\nabla h_{k'})\big)\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'+k}\|\int_0^1 g\cdot\nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'+k}\|\big(\int_0^1|g|^2\big)^{\frac12}\cdot\big(\int_0^1|\nabla h_{k'}|^2\big)^{\frac12}\|_{L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'+k}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\cdot\|\nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-k'+k}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the dual Bernstein inequality

$$\begin{aligned}J_2 &= \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\int_0^1\nabla g\cdot\nabla h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'+k}\|\big(\int_0^1\nabla g\cdot\nabla h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^1_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'+k}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|\nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-k'+k}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|A_k\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-k'+k}\|h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big)$$

[3] In fact $P_k(g\cdot h_{<k}) = P_k(g_{>k+1}\cdot h_{<k})$, neglecting a finite number of terms does not matter.
[4] In fact $\Delta P_kf = 2^{2k}\tilde{P}_kf$ with a slightly modified LP -projection.

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k \|A_k\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'} \|h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big)\\ &\lesssim \big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big)\cdot\|h\|_{L^2_tB^0_x}\end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Proof of (5): We have to estimate the sum,

$$\sum_k \|P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t h\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}$$

For each integer k we decompose, $h = h_{<k}+h_{\geq k}$ with $h_{<k}=\sum_{k'<k}h_{k'}$, and $h_{\geq k}=\sum_{k'\geq k}h_{k'}$. Thus,

$$P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t h\big)\,dt \;=\; P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t h_{\geq k}\big)+P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t h_{<k}\big)=A_k+B_k$$

1.) *Estimates for B_k.* Observe that[5] $P_k(g\cdot\int_0^t h_{<k})=P_k(g_{\geq k}\cdot\int_0^t h_{<k})$. Thus, using freely **LP5**, $B_k=P_k\big(g_{\geq k}\cdot\int_0^t h_{<k}\big)$. We rely on **LP3** , **LP4**,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|g_{\geq k}\cdot\int_0^t h_{<k}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\leq\|g_{\geq k}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|\int_0^t h_{<k}\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim 2^k\sum_{k''<k\leq k'}\|g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^1_tL^\infty_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k''<k\leq k'}2^{k''-k'}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k\|B_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_k\sum_{k''<k\leq k'}2^{k''-k'}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k''\leq k'}2^{\frac{k''-k'}{2}}\|\nabla g_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k''}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\lesssim\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}.\end{aligned}$$

2.) *Estimates for A_k.* To estimate A_k we proceed as in the proof of (4).

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} &= \|P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t h_{\geq k}\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(g\cdot\int_0^t\Delta h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla(g\cdot\int_0^t\nabla h_{k'})\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &+ \sum_{k'\geq k}2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla g\cdot\int_0^t\nabla h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\ &= J_1+J_2\end{aligned}$$

[5]In fact $P_k(g\cdot h_{<k})=P_k(g_{>k+1}\cdot h_{<k})$, neglecting a finite number of terms does not matter.

Now, using **LP3**,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla(g\cdot\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'})\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'+k}\|g\cdot\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'+k}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\cdot\|\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_t} \lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-k'+k}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the dual Bernstein inequality

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big(\nabla g\cdot\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'}\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'+k}\|\nabla g\cdot\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^1_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'+k}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|\int_0^t \nabla h_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-k'+k}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\cdot\|h_{k'}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|A_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-k'+k}\|h_{k'}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\cdot\big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big)$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|A_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'} \|h_{k'}\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\cdot\big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big) \\ &\lesssim \big(\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}+\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\big)\cdot\|h\|_{L^1_tB^0_x} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

3. Geometric set-up. Geometric LP- projections

We assume given an Einstein spacetime $(\mathcal{M},\mathbf{g})$ a space -like hypersurface Σ and an outgoing null hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$, initiating on a compact 2 surface $S_0\subset\Sigma$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$, given by the level hypersurfaces of an optical function u, i.e. solution to the Eikonal equation

$$\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha u\partial_\beta u = 0. \tag{15}$$

We briefly recall the main geometric definitions, see section 2 of [Kl-Rodn1], associated with $\mathcal{H}$.

1.) *Geodesic foliation:* Let $L=-\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_a u\,\partial_b$ be the corresponding null generator vectorfield and s its affine parameter, i.e. $L(s)=1,\quad s|_{S_0}=0$. The level surfaces S_s of s generates the geodesic foliation on $\mathcal{H}$. We shall denote by ∇ the covariant differentiation on S_s and by ∇_L the projection to S_s of the covariant derivative with respect to L, see section 2. We also denote by r the function on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $r=r(s)=\sqrt{(4\pi)^{-1}|S_s|}$, with $|S_s|$ the area of S_s. Let $\mathcal{H}_t$ be the portion of $\mathcal{H}$ between $s=0$ and $s=t$ and, for simplicity, assume $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_1$.

2.) *Null pair:* With our choice of L we have

$$< L, L >= 0, \qquad D_L L = 0$$

where $<\,,\,>=<\,,\,>_g$ denoting the metric of $\mathcal{M}$. At any point $P \in S_s \subset \mathcal{H}$ we denote by $\underline{L}$ the null vector conjugate to L relative to the geodesic foliation, i.e.

$$< L\,,\,\underline{L} >= -2\,, \qquad < \underline{L}, X >= 0 \quad \text{for all } \; X \in T_p(S_s).$$

We shall say that $L, \underline{L}$ form the canonical null pair associated to the foliation. We denote by γ the induced metric on S_v, by ∇ the induced covariant derivative and K the Gauss curvature. An arbitrary orthonormal frame on S_v will be denoted by $(e_a)_{a=1,2}$. Clearly,

$$< e_a\,,\, L >=< e_a\,,\, \underline{L} >= 0, \quad < e_a, e_b >= \delta_{ab}.$$

A null pair together with an orthonormal frame $(e_a)_{a=1,2}$ as above is called a null frame associated to the foliation.

3.) *Total curvature flux:* We introduce the total curvature flux along $\mathcal{H}$ to be the integral[6], see precise definition of the null curvature components $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \sigma, \underline{\beta}$ in section 2 of [Kl-Rodn1],

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \left(\|\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\beta\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\rho\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\sigma\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} + \|\underline{\beta}\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{16}$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \sigma, \underline{\beta}$ null components of the curvature tensor $\mathbf{R}$ of the spacetime, background metric $\mathbf{g}$.

In [Kl-Rodn1] we worked under the assumption the $\mathcal{R}_0$ is sufficiently small. In this paper the only curvature components we shall need are β and the Gauss curvature K of the S_s surfaces. We will make specific assumptions about this, consistent with the small curvature flux condition, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_0$ sufficiently small.

4.) *Null connection coefficients:* The null second fundamental forms $\chi, \underline{\chi}$ of the foliation S_v are given by

$$\chi_{ab} =< D_a L\,,\, e_b >, \qquad \underline{\chi}_{ab} =< D_a \underline{L}\,,\, e_b > \tag{17}$$

The torsion is given by,

$$\zeta_a = \frac{1}{2} < D_a L\,,\, \underline{L} > \tag{18}$$

We also denote $\mathrm{tr}\chi = \delta^{ab}\chi_{ab}$ and $\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} = \delta^{ab}\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{ab}$ and $\hat{\chi} = \hat{\chi} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\delta$, $\underline{\hat{\chi}} = \underline{\chi} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}\delta$. Recall the definition of the mass aspect function $\mu = -\mathrm{div}\,\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\chi}\cdot\underline{\hat{\chi}} - \rho + |\zeta|^2$.

5.) *Commutator formulas* Commutation formulas between ∇_L and ∇ play an important role in the paper. We recall, see section 2.15 of [Kl-Rodn1],

[6]Here $\|F\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})} = \left(\int_0^1 ds \int_{S_s} |F|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\int_{S_s} |F|^2$ denotes the integral with respect to the volume element $d\mu_s$ of S_s.

Proposition 3.1. *Consider an arbitrary k-covariant, S-tangent vectorfield* $F_{\underline{a}} = F_{a_1 \ldots a_k}$ *Then,*

$$\nabla_L \nabla_b F_{\underline{a}} - \nabla_b \nabla_L F_{\underline{a}} \quad = \quad -\chi_{bc} \nabla_c F_{\underline{a}} + \sum_i (\chi_{a_i b}\, \zeta_c - \chi_{bc}\, \zeta_{a_i} + \in_{a_i c}\ {}^\star\beta_b) F_{a_1 \ldots c \ldots a_k}$$

In particular for scalars f,

$$\nabla_L \nabla_b f - \nabla_b \nabla_L f = -\chi_{bc} \nabla_c f \tag{19}$$

Also, for a one form F,

$$L(div\ F) - div\ (\nabla_L F) = -\chi \cdot \nabla F + \big(\frac{1}{2} tr\chi \cdot \zeta + \hat{\chi} \cdot \zeta - \beta\big) \cdot F$$

and again for scalars,

$$L(\Delta f) - \Delta(Lf) \quad = \quad -tr\chi \Delta f - 2\hat{\chi} \cdot \nabla^2 f + \Big(\nabla \cdot tr\chi + 2\hat{\chi} \cdot \zeta + tr\chi \cdot \zeta\Big) \cdot \nabla f$$

6.) *Bochner identity* Bochner identity holds for scalars and tensors on surfaces $S = S_s$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

Proposition 3.2.

i) For a scalar function f,

$$\int_S |\nabla^2 f|^2 = \int_S |\Delta f|^2 - \int_S K |\nabla f|^2 \tag{20}$$

ii) For a tensorfield F

$$\int_S |\nabla^2 F|^2 = \int_S |\Delta F|^2 - \int_S K(2|\nabla F|^2 - |div\ F|^2) + \int_S K^2 |F|^2 \tag{21}$$

Remark 3.3. The difference between scalars and tensors is substantial as terms quadratic in the curvature are much more difficult to control, see the properties **K1**-**K2** we state in the next section.

3.4. **Main geometric properties of $\mathcal{H}$.** The proof of the Main Theorem of [Kl-Rodn1] was based on the bootstrap assumptions **BA1**–**BA4** concerning the geometric quantities trχ, $\hat{\chi}$, ζ, tr$\underline{\chi}$, $\hat{\underline{\chi}}$. In this paper we make a consistent but somewhat different set of assumptions, **A1**, **A2**, **WS**, **K1**, **K2** concerning trχ, $\hat{\chi}$, ζ and the Gauss curvature K. In the following theorem we stress the fact that these assumptions follow from the bootstrap assumptions **BA1**–**BA3**, the small curvature flux and initial conditions $\mathcal{R}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_0$ of [Kl-Rodn1].

Proposition 3.5. *The geometric properties of the geodesic foliation of* $\mathcal{H}$ *described below in* **A1**, **A2**, **WS**, **K1**, **K2** *follow from the bootstrap assumptions* **BA1**–**BA3**, *the small curvature flux and the initial conditions* $\mathcal{R}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_0$ *of* [Kl-Rodn1]

Proof : It will become obvious that **A1**, **A2** are contained in assumptions **BA1**, **BA2**. Properties **WS**, **K1**, **K2** have been carefully derived in section 4 of [Kl-Rodn1] as consequences **BA1**–**BA3**, the small curvature flux and the initial conditions $\mathcal{R}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_0$. ■

We now describe properties **A1**, **A2**, **WS**, **K1**, **K2** and their immediate consequences.

The most primitive assumption is, as in [Kl-Rodn1]:

A1. $\sup_{\mathcal{H}} r\,|\overline{\mathrm{tr}\chi} - \frac{2}{r}| \leq \Delta_0$, $\sup_{\mathcal{H}} r\,|\mathrm{tr}\chi - \overline{\mathrm{tr}\chi}| \leq \Delta_0$. where $0 < \Delta_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ is a sufficiently small constant.

Based on the assumption **A1** we could easily deduced, see section 3.7 in [Kl-Rodn1],

$$r_0 + \frac{1}{2}s \leq r \leq r_0 + \frac{3}{2}s.$$

Moreover,

$$1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma_s|}}{\sqrt{|\gamma_0|}} \leq 2\big(\frac{3}{2}\big)^6, \qquad \text{for all} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \tag{22}$$

i.e. the volume elements of S_s and S_0 remain comparable in the interval $0 \leq s \leq 1$. As a consequence of (22) we also infer that the $L^2(\mathcal{H})$ norm, defined in the footnote 6 below, is equivalent to the product norm on $[0,t] \times S_0$,

$$\|F\|_{L^2} = \|F\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} = \big(\int_0^t \int_{S_0} |F|^2\, ds\, d\mu_0\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \bigg(\int_0^t ds \int_{S_0} |F(s,\omega)|^2\ \sqrt{|\gamma_0|} d\omega\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{23}$$

We shall also make use of the following norms,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} = \sup_{\omega \in S_0} \big(\int_0^t ds\, |F(s,\omega)|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{24}$$

$$\|F\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} = \| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\, F(s,\omega)|\ \|_{L^2(S_0)} \tag{25}$$

as well as, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^p_x} \quad = \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\, F(s)\, \|_{L^p(S_0)} \tag{26}$$

$$\|F\|_{L^2_t L^p_x} \quad = \quad \big(\int_0^t \|\, F(s)\, \|^2_{L^p(S_0)} ds\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{27}$$

Observe that $\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \leq \|F\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t}$. We recall the following transport lemma, see section 3.7 in [Kl-Rodn1].

Lemma 3.6. *Consider the equation $\nabla_L F + k tr\chi F = G$ for S-tangent tensors F, G on $\mathcal{H}$. Then, for any $p \geq 1$,*

$$\|F\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|F(0)\|_{L^p(S_0)} + \|F\|_{L^p_x L^1_t} \tag{28}$$

We shall also make us of the following notations:

Definition 3.7. Given an arbitrary S-tangent tensor H on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$ we denote

$$\|\overline{\nabla} F\|_{L^2} = \|\nabla F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_L F\|_{L^2}.$$

We also introduce the following norms ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_1(F) &= \|F\|_{L^2} + \|\overline{\nabla} F\|_{L^2} \\
&= \|F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_L F\|_{L^2} \\
\mathcal{N}_2(F) &= \|F\|_{L^2} + \|\overline{\nabla} F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \overline{\nabla} F\|_{L^2} \\
&= \|F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 F\|_{L^2} \\
&+ \|\nabla_L F\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \cdot \nabla_L F\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where L^2 here stands for $L^2_t L^2_x$.

The second set of assumptions we need is:

A2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{\chi}\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \ , \quad \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}, \quad &\leq \quad \Delta_0, \\
\|\nabla \mathrm{tr}\chi\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \ , \quad \mathcal{N}_1(\hat{\chi}) \ , \quad \mathcal{N}_1(\zeta) \quad &\leq \quad \Delta_0
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.8. The assumptions **A2** are essentially the same as **BA2** of [Kl-Rodn1] except for the bound on μ which is not needed here.

As in [Kl-Rodn1] we can simplify our various calculations by introducing the following symbolic notations for connection coefficients.

Definition 3.9. We denote by A the collection formed by the connection coefficients: $A = \mathrm{tr}\chi - \frac{2}{r}, \hat{\chi}, \zeta$

With these notation the assumptions, **A1** and **A2** take the form,

$$\|\mathrm{tr}\chi - \frac{2}{r}\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x}, \quad \|\nabla \mathrm{tr}\chi\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t}, \quad \|A\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}, \quad \mathcal{N}_1[A] \lesssim \Delta_0 \tag{29}$$

The following inequalities are straightforward consequences of **A1** and **A2**, see[Kl-Rodn1]:

Lemma 3.10. *The following estimates hold for an arbitrary, smooth, S-tangent tensorfield F:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}, \ \|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^4_x}, \quad \|F\|_{L^6_t L^6_x} \quad &\lesssim \quad \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \quad &\lesssim \quad \mathcal{N}_2[F]
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.11. *Let w be a solution of the scalar transport equation*

$$\nabla_L w = f, \qquad w|_{S_0} = 0, \tag{30}$$

For any $p \geq 1$,

$$\|\nabla w\,\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^p_x L^1_t}. \tag{31}$$

Proof : We only need to differentiate according to the commutator formula of proposition 3.1, $[\nabla_L, \nabla]w = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi \cdot \nabla w - \hat{\chi} \cdot \nabla w$ and then apply lemma 3.6 to the transport equation,

$$\nabla_L \nabla w + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi \cdot \nabla w = \nabla f - \hat{\chi} \cdot \nabla w$$

■

The notation introduced in definition 3.9 allows us to express in a compact form the commutator formulas of proposition 3.1 More precisely,

Proposition 3.12. *In what follows we denote arbitrary S tangent tensorfields by capital letters F and scalars by low case letters f.*

$$[\nabla_L, \nabla]f = -\frac{1}{2}tr\chi \cdot \nabla f + A \cdot \nabla f \tag{32}$$

$$[\nabla_L, \Delta]f = \nabla\big((\frac{1}{r} + A) \cdot \nabla f\big) + \nabla A \cdot \nabla f + A \cdot A \cdot \nabla f \tag{33}$$

$$[\nabla_L, \nabla]F = -\frac{1}{2}tr\chi \cdot \nabla F + A \cdot \nabla F + \beta \cdot F + (A + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot A \cdot F$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_L, \Delta]F &= -tr\chi\Delta f + A \cdot \nabla^2 F + \nabla A \cdot \nabla F + (A + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot A \cdot \nabla F \\ &+ \beta \cdot \nabla F + \nabla\big(\beta \cdot \nabla F + (A + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot A \cdot F\big)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.13. *For a given 1-form F let w be a solution of the scalar transport equation*

$$\nabla_L w = div\ F, \qquad w|_{S_0} = 0, \tag{34}$$

and let 1-form W be a solution of the equation

$$\nabla_L W - \chi \cdot W = F, \qquad W|_{S_0} = 0. \tag{35}$$

Then for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$\|div\ W - w\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0 \|F\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}_x L^1_t}. \tag{36}$$

Proof : We commute the equation (35) with div , using the commutation formula of proposition 3.12, and subtract the transport equation for w we obtain

$$\nabla_L(\mathrm{div}\ W - w) = \nabla\chi \cdot W + \beta \cdot W + (A + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot A \cdot W$$

Applying the estimate (28) of lemma 3.6 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{div}\ W - w\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} &\lesssim \|\nabla\chi \cdot W\|_{L^p_x L^1_t} + \|\beta \cdot W\|_{L^p_x L^1_t} + \|(A + \frac{1}{r}) \cdot A \cdot W\|_{L^p_x L^1_t} \\ &\lesssim \|A\|^2_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \cdot \|W\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} + (\|\beta\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|\nabla A\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}) \cdot \|W\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}_x L^2_t}\end{aligned}$$

Again applying the estimate (28) of lemma 3.6 to the transport equation for W and using the conditions **A1**, **A2**, we derive

$$\| \operatorname{div} W - w\|_{L^p_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0 \left(\|F\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} L_t^1} + \Delta_0 \|F\|_{L^p_x L^1_t} \right) \lesssim \Delta_0 \|F\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} L_t^1} \tag{37}$$

as desired. ■

In addition to **A1**–**A2** we need two other type of assumptions.

WS. The initial surface S_0 can be covered with a finite number of coordinate charts (w^1, w^2) such that relative to the transported coordinates (s, ω^a) on $\mathcal{H}$, with s the afine parameter, the metric γ and its partial derivatives $\partial\gamma$, relative to the coordinates (s, ω), verify the estimates

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x}, \qquad \|\gamma^{-1}\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim 1, \qquad \|\partial(\gamma - \overset{\circ}{\gamma}_s)\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0 \tag{38}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\gamma}_s = (1+s)^2 \overset{\circ}{\gamma}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\gamma}$ denotes the standard metric on S, isometric to that of $\mathbb{S}^2$. We shall also make assumptions on the Gauss curvature K of the surfaces S_s.

K1. The Gauss curvature K of the S_s surfaces and the null curvature component β, see (16), verify:

$$\|K - \frac{1}{r^2}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}, \quad \|\beta\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \quad \lesssim \quad \Delta_0$$

K2. The Gauss curvature K of the S_s surfaces satisfies

$$\|\Lambda^{-\gamma}\big(K - r^{-2}\big)\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0$$

with $\Lambda^{-\gamma} = (1-\Delta)^{-\gamma/2}$, for any $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$.

The properties **WS**, **K1**, **K2** allow us to apply all the results of [Kl-Rodn2]. In what follows we shall present a summary of the results proved in [Kl-Rodn2] which shall be needed in this paper.

3.14. **Calculus inequalities on surfaces.**

Proposition 3.15. *The following calculus inequalities hold true for our surfaces $S = S_s$ for any tensorfield F.*

$$\|F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim \|\nabla F\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^2(S)} \cdot \|F\|^{\frac{2}{p}}_{L^2(S)} + \|F\|_{L^2(S)}, \qquad 2 \le p < \infty \tag{39}$$

Also, for every $2 \le p < \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \|\nabla^2 F\|^{\frac{1}{p}}_{L^2(S)} \|\nabla F\|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}_{L^2(S)} \|F\|^{\frac{1}{p}}_{L^2(S)} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{40}$$

As a consequence of the Böchner identity for tensors, see proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 F\|_{L^2(S)} \quad &\lesssim \quad \|\Delta F\|_{L^2(S)} + \|K\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}_{L^2(S)} \|\nabla F\|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}_{L^2(S)} \|F\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^2(S)} \\ &+ \quad \|K\|_{L^2(S)} \|\nabla F\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

while for scalars f,

$$\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2(S)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{42}$$

Proof : For the first three inequalities see [Kl-Rodn2]. The proof of (42) can be found in [Kl-Rodn1] section 4. ■

3.16. **Properties of the heat flow.** Given a tensor F on $S = S_s$ we define the corresponding heat flow $U(\tau)F$ to be the unique solution of the equation,

$$\partial_\tau U(\tau)F - \Delta U(\tau)F = 0, \quad U(0)F = F.$$

Here Δ denotes the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator on tensors,

$$\Delta G = \gamma^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j G.$$

Proposition 3.17. *The heat flow $U(\tau)F$ verifies the following properties:*

$$\|U(\tau)F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^p(S)}, \qquad 1 \le p \le \infty \tag{43}$$

$$\|\nabla U(\tau)F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|\nabla F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{44}$$

$$\|\nabla U(\tau)F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{45}$$

$$\|U(\tau)\nabla F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{46}$$

$$\|\Delta U(\tau)F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \tau^{-1}\|F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{47}$$

Also, for $2 \le p < \infty$,

$$\|U(\tau)F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \tau^{-(1-2/p)}\big)\|F\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{48}$$

and the dual estimate, for $1 < q \le 2$,

$$\|U(\tau)F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \tau^{(1-2/q)}\big)\|F\|_{L^q(S)} \tag{49}$$

In addition, if f is a scalar function[7]

$$\|U(\tau)f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \tau^{-1}\big)\|f\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{50}$$

and its dual

$$\|U(\tau)f\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \tau^{-1}\big)\|f\|_{L^1(S)} \tag{51}$$

Proof : See [Kl-Rodn2]. ■

[7] We do not know if such estimate holds in the tensor case. This failure is also connected with the absence of strong tensor Bernstein inequality, to be discussed in the next subsection.

3.18. **Geometric LP-projections.** Finally we recall below the definition and main properties of the Littlwood-Paley(LP) projections introduced in [Kl-Rodn2].

Definition 3.19. Consider the class $\mathcal{M}$ of smooth functions m on $[0,\infty)$, vanishing sufficiently fast at ∞, verifying the vanishing moments property:

$$\int_0^\infty \tau^{k_1}\partial_\tau^{k_2} m(\tau)d\tau = 0, \quad |k_1|+|k_2|\leq N \tag{52}$$

We set,

$$m_k(\tau) = 2^{2k} m(2^{2k}\tau)$$

and define the geometric Littlewood -Paley (LP) projections P_k, associated to the LP- representative function $m\in\mathcal{M}$, for arbitrary tensorfields F on a given surface $S=S_s$, $0\leq s\leq 1$, to be

$$P_k F = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)U(\tau)Fd\tau \tag{53}$$

where $U(\tau)F$ is the heat flow on S.

Given an interval $I\subset\mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$P_I = \sum_{k\in I} P_k f.$$

In particular we shall use the notation $P_{<k}, P_{\leq k}, P_{>k}, P_{\geq k}$.

Observe that P_k are selfadjoint. They verify the following properties:

Proposition 3.20. *The following properties of the LP projections depend only on the conditions* **WS**, **K1**, **K2**.

i) L^p-boundedness *For any $1\leq p\leq\infty$, and any interval $I\subset\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\|P_I F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^p(S)} \tag{54}$$

ii) L^p- almost orthogonality *Consider two families of LP-projections $P_k, \tilde{P}_k$ associated to m and respectively $\tilde{m}$, both in $\mathcal{M}$. For any $1\leq p\leq\infty$:*

$$\|P_k\tilde{P}_{k'}F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim 2^{-2|k-k'|}\|F\|_{L^p(S)} \tag{55}$$

iii) Bessel inequality

$$\sum_k \|P_k F\|^2_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|F\|^2_{L^2(S)}$$

iv) Reproducing property[8] *Given an appropriately defined $\bar{m}\in\mathcal{M}$ there exists $m\in\mathcal{M}$ such that such that $\bar{m} = m\star m$. Thus,*

$${}^{(\bar{m})}P_k =^{(m)} P_k \cdot^{(m)} P_k.$$

Whenever there is no danger of confusion we shall simply write $P_k = P_k\cdot P_k$.

[8] see precise statement in[Kl-Rodn1].

v) Finite band property *For any $1 \le p \le \infty$, $k \ge 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta P_k F\|_{L^p(S)} &\lesssim 2^{2k}\|F\|_{L^p(S)} && [\mathbf{\Delta FB}] \\ \|P_k F\|_{L^p(S)} &\lesssim 2^{-2k}\|\Delta F\|_{L^p} && [\mathbf{\Delta FB^{-1}}] \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the L^2 estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P_k F\|_{L^2(S)} &\lesssim 2^{k}\|F\|_{L^2(S)} && [\mathbf{\nabla FB}] \\ \|P_k F\|_{L^2(S)} &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla F\|_{L^2} && [\mathbf{\nabla FB^{-1}}] \end{aligned}$$

hold together with the dual estimate

$$\|P_k \nabla F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim 2^k \|F(S)\|_{L^2}$$

vi) Weak Bernstein inequality. *For any $2 \le p < \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim (2^{(1-\frac{2}{p})k} + 1)\|F\|_{L^2(S)}, && [\mathbf{wB}] \\ &\|P_{<0} F\|_{L^p(S)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned}$$

together with the dual estimates

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim (2^{(1-\frac{2}{p})k} + 1)\|F\|_{L^{p'}(S)}, && [\mathbf{wB}^*] \\ &\|P_{<0} F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^{p'}(S)} \end{aligned}$$

vii) Strong Scalar Bernstein Inequality *For any scalar function f and $k \ge 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim 2^k \|f\|_{L^2(S)} && [\mathbf{ssB}], \\ &\|P_{<0} f\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned}$$

and the dual estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k f\|_{L^1(S)} &\lesssim 2^k \|f\|_{L^2(S)} && [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\ \|P_{<0} f\|_{L^1(S)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned}$$

In addition we have the following curvature dependent estimates.

viii) Strong Tensor Bernstein Inequality *For any tensor-field F, $k \ge 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k F\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \big(2^k + 2^{k\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\|K\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2(S)}, && [\mathbf{stB}] \\ &\|P_{<0} F\|_{L^\infty(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \|K\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned}$$

ix) Dyadic Böchner inequality *For any tensor-field F and $2 \le p < \infty$, $k \ge 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla^2 P_k F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \big(2^{2k} + 2^k\|K\|_{L^2(S)} + 2^{k\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\|K\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2(S)}, \\ &\|\nabla^2 P_{<0} F\|_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \big(1 + \|K\|_{L^2(S)} + \|K\|_{L^2(S)}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2(S)} \end{aligned}$$

x) Dyadic L^∞ inequality *For any tensor-field F and $2 \le p < \infty$, $k \ge 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_k F\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \big(2^k + 2^{k\frac{p-1}{p}}\|K\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2^{k\frac{p-2}{p-1}}\|K\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2}, \\ &\|P_{<0} F\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \big(1 + \|K\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|K\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\big) \cdot \|F\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Proof : See [Kl-Rodn2]. ■

In what follows we outline some of the main differences between the properties of geometric LP theory projections recorded in theorem 3.20 and the properties **LP1**–**LP5**.

(1) The simple, pointwise, orthogonality property **LP1** does not hold. The replacement by the almost orthogonality (55) is not going to create major difficulties, however the usual trichotomy properties of products are not longer valid. More precisely, in the classical LP theory *low-low* interactions of the type[9] $P_k(f_{<k} \cdot g_{<k})$ are forbidden. This is no longer valid for our geometric LP theory.
(2) The geometric LP projections commute with the geometric laplacean Δ but fail to commute with covariant derivatives. Because of this one has to be very careful when applying the finite band properties recorded in v).
(3) In our applications to null hypersurfaces we don't have a bound[10] for the quantity $\|K - \frac{1}{r^2}\|_{L^2(S)}$. Because of this we have to be very careful when we apply the strong Bernstein estimates ($L^2 - L^\infty$) for tensorfields. However, we do have an unconditional strong Bernstein inequality for scalars.
(4) In flat Minkowski space both the classical and geometric LP projections commute[11] with ∇_L derivatives. This is no longer true for the geometric LP projections for null hypersurfaces on curved backgrounds. Moreover, due to our weak regularity assumptions $\mathbf{BA1} - \mathbf{BA2}$ as well as **WS**, **K1**, **K2**, the commutators are often not any better, in terms of their regularity properties, than the principal term.

3.21. **Besov spaces on surfaces $S = S_s$.**

The following result was proved in [Kl-Rodn1]

Proposition 3.22.
i.) *Consider the LP projections P_k associated to an arbitrary $m \in \mathcal{M}_2$. Then,*

$$\sum_k \|P_k f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \tag{56}$$

$$\sum_k 2^{2k} \|P_k f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \tag{57}$$

ii.) *If in addition the LP-projections P_k verify:*

$$\sum_k P_k^2 = I \tag{58}$$

[9] In fact $P_k(f_{<k-2} \cdot g_{<k-2})$

[10] According to assumption **K1** we only control $\|K - \frac{1}{r^2}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H})}$. The only bound for the Gauss curvature, on a fixed surface $S = S_s$, we posses is given by **K2**.

[11] modulo a $\frac{1}{r}$ term generated by the mean curvature of the sphere foliation of the Minkowski null cone.

Then,

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(S)} = \sum_k \|P_k f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \tag{59}$$

$$\|\nabla f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \lesssim \sum_k 2^{2k}\|P_k f\|^2_{L^2(S)} \tag{60}$$

Using a family of LP projections P_k verifying $\sum P_k^2 = I$ we can now define our main Besov type norms:

Definition 3.23. Given an arbitrary tensor F on a fixed $S = S_s$ we define the Besov norm $B^a_{2,1}(S)$ for every $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{B^a_{2,1}(S)} = \big(\sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{ak}\|P_k F\|_{L^2(S)}\big) + \|\sum_{k<0} P_k F\|_{L^2(S)}. \tag{61}$$

We recall the following product estimates:

Proposition 3.24. *Let $a, a', b, b' > 0$ such that $a + b = a' + b' \geq 1$. Then for all tensorfields F, G and any $0 \leq c < 1$,*

$$\|F \cdot G\|_{B^c_{2,1}(S)} \lesssim \|\Lambda^{a+c}F\|_{L^2(S)}\|\Lambda^b G\|_{L^2(S)} + \|\Lambda^{a'}G\|_{L^2(S)}\|\Lambda^{b'+c}G\|_{L^2(S)} \tag{62}$$

Proof : See [Kl-Rodn2]. ■

We shall also need the following estimate connecting the norms $B^1_{2,1}(S_0)$ and $B^0_{2,1}(S_0)$ for scalars.

Proposition 3.25. *Given a scalar function f on S we have the inequality:*

$$\|f\|_{B^1_{2,1}(S)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S)} + \|\nabla f\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S)} \tag{63}$$

Proof : See [Kl-Rodn2].

■

3.26. **Besov spaces on null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}$.**

Using the geometric LP projections we are ready to define our main Besov type norms on $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 3.27. For S-tangent tensors F on $\mathcal{H}$ we introduce the norms, for $0 \leq a \leq 1$:

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^a} = \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{ak}\|P_k F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} + \|P_{<0}F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}, \tag{64}$$

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{P}^a} = \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{ak}\|P_k F\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|P_{<0}F\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \tag{65}$$

The following is a crucial result allowing us to pass from tensorial $\mathcal{B}^0$ estimates to their $\mathcal{B}^0$ scalar counterparts.

Proposition 3.28. *There exist a finite number of vectorfields $X_1, \dots X_l$ verifying the following properties*[12]*,*

$$\|X, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} X\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim 1, \quad \|(\nabla - \overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0, \quad \|\nabla(\overset{\circ}{\nabla} X)\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim 1$$

An arbitrary S-tangent tensor $F \in L^\infty_t L^2_x$ is in $\mathcal{B}^0$ if and only if $F \cdot X_i \in \mathcal{B}^0$ for all $1 \le i \le l$. Moreover the X_i's can be chosen to be coordinate vectorfields with $\nabla_L X_i = 0$.

Proof : To prove the proposition we first choose the vectorfields X to be the coordinate vectorfields associated to the transported coordinates (t, ω). The conditions $\|X\|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim 1$ and $\|(\nabla - \overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \Delta_0$ are equivalent to our **WS** condition. Moreover $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}{}^2 X$ are clearly bounded and the condition on $\nabla\overset{\circ}{\nabla} X$ follows from $\|\partial\gamma\|_{L^2_X L^\infty_t} \lesssim 1$.

With the help of these vectorfields we note the following characterization of the $L^\infty_t L^2_x$ norm for tensors[13] F.

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \approx \max_{1 \le i \le l} \|X_i \cdot F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \tag{66}$$

We now proceed as follows[14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} &= \sum_{k \ge 0} \|P_k F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \approx \max_{1 \le i \le l} \sum_{k \ge 0} \|X_i \cdot P_k F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &= \max_{1 \le i \le l} \sum_{k \ge 0} \|P_k(X_i \cdot F)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} + O\big(\sum_{k \ge 0} \|[P_k\,,\, X] \cdot F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\big) \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that,

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} \|[P_k\,,\, X] \cdot F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|(\nabla - \overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} + \|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \tag{67}$$

$$\lesssim \Delta_0 \|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} + \|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}. \tag{68}$$

---

[12]recall that $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ represents the covariant derivative with respect to the background metric $(1+s)^2 \overset{\circ}{\gamma}$.

[13]for simplicity of notations we restrict to 1-forms.

[14]We only show the $X_i \cdot F \in \mathcal{B}^0$ implies $F \in \mathcal{B}^0$. This is the implication which will be needed later. Observe that we also drop the term $\|P_{<0} F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$, which is trivial, in the expression for $\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$

To prove (67) we have to recall the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_k\,,\,X]\cdot F &= \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\Phi(\tau)\\
\Phi(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')[\Delta\,,\,X]U(\tau')Fd\tau' = \Phi_1(\tau)+\Phi_2(\tau)+\Phi_3(\tau)+\Phi_4(\tau)\\
\Phi_1(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')(\nabla-\overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\cdot\nabla U(\tau')Fd\tau'\\
\Phi_2(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\mathrm{div}\,\big(\nabla-\overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\cdot U(\tau')F\big)d\tau'\\
\Phi_3(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\overset{\circ}{\nabla}X\cdot\nabla U(\tau')Fd\tau'\\
\Phi_4(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\mathrm{div}\,\big(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}X\cdot U(\tau')F\big)d\tau'
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Delta,X]F &= \nabla^i(\nabla_iX\cdot F)+\nabla_iX\cdot\nabla^iF = \nabla^i\big((\nabla_i-\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_i)X\cdot F\big)+(\nabla_i-\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_i)X\cdot\nabla^iF\\
&+ \nabla^i\big(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_iX\cdot F\big)+\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_iX\cdot\nabla^iF
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate Φ_1 we observe that $U(\tau-\tau')$ corresponds to a scalar heat flow and therefore we make use of the following scalar heat flow estimate (51):

$$\|U(\tau-\tau')f\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim(1+|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12})\|f\|_{L^1_x}.$$

Remark 3.29. In what follows, we shall systematically replace the above estimate, and all other heat flow estimates like it, with their slightly incorrect versions where we ignore the non-singular term 1. We thus write

$$\|U(\tau-\tau')f\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|f\|_{L^1_x}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_1(\tau;k)\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|(\nabla-\overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_tL^1_x}\\
&\lesssim \int_0^\tau|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|(\nabla-\overset{\circ}{\nabla})X\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_t}\cdot\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\\
&\lesssim \Delta_0\cdot\int_0^\tau|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the integral

$$J(\tau)=\int_0^\tau|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}$$

we decompose it as follows:

$$J(\tau)\lesssim\sum_m\int_0^\tau|\tau-\tau'|^{-\frac12}\|\nabla P_mU(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}=\sum_mJ_m(\tau)$$

Next we make use of

Lemma 3.30.

$$J_m(\tau)\lesssim\min\big(2^m\tau^{\frac12},2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac12}\big)\|P_mF\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\tag{69}$$

and proceed as follows:

$$\int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\|\Phi_1(\tau;k)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_m \|P_m F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\min\left(2^m\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\min\left(2^m\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) &= 2^m\int_0^{2^{-2m}} m_k(\tau)\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{-m}\int_{2^{-2m}}^\infty m_k(\tau)\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 2^{m-k}\int_0^{2^{2(k-m)}} \tilde{m}(\tau) + 2^{k-m}\int_{2^{2(k-m)}}^\infty \hat{m}(\tau) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-|k-m|}\end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{m}(\tau) = \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}m(\tau)$ and $\hat{m}(\tau) = \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}m(\tau)$. Moreover, to arrive at the inequality above we used the following bounds:

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{m}(\tau), \quad \int_0^\infty \hat{m}(\tau) \lesssim 1, \quad \int_0^a \tilde{m}(\tau) \lesssim a, \quad \int_A^\infty \hat{m}(\tau) \lesssim A^{-1}$$

which hold for all sufficiently small a and all sufficiently large A. Thus,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\|\Phi_1(\tau;k)\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} \lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_m 2^{-|m-k|}\|P_m F\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} \lesssim \Delta_0\|F\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}$$

To estimate Φ_2 we first observe that the following estimate for the scalar heat flow holds for any $1 < p \leq 2$:

$$\|U(\tau-\tau')\text{div } g\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|g\|_{L_x^p} \tag{70}$$

Using this we obtain for some $p < 2$ sufficiently close to $p = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_2(\tau;k)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|(\nabla - \mathring{\nabla})X\cdot U(\tau')F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|(\nabla - \mathring{\nabla})X\|_{L_x^2L_t^\infty}\|U(\tau')F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{2-\frac{2}{p}}\|P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{\frac{2}{p}-1}\end{aligned}$$

The remaining argument now is a straightforward modification of the proof for Φ_1. We infer that

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\|\Phi_2(\tau;k)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \Delta_0\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_m 2^{-(2-\frac{2}{p})|k-m|}\|P_m F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \Delta_0\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$$

It only remains to estimate the easier terms Φ_3, Φ_4.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_3(\tau;k) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\mathring{\nabla}X\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F d\tau' = \Phi_{31}(\tau;k) + \Phi_{32}(\tau;k) \\ &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')(\nabla\mathring{\nabla}X)\cdot U(\tau')F d\tau' + \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\nabla\big(\mathring{\nabla}X\cdot U(\tau')F\big)d\tau'\end{aligned}$$

Now, starting as for Φ_1, Φ_2,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_{31}(\tau;k)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\overset{\circ}{\nabla}X\cdot U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^1_x}\\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\overset{\circ}{\nabla}X\|_{L^2_x L^\infty_t}\cdot\|U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

$$\|\Phi_{32}(\tau;k)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\overset{\circ}{\nabla}X\cdot U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\|\Phi_3(\tau;k)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0}\int_0^\infty \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}m_k(\tau)d\tau\lesssim \sum_k 2^{-k}$$

and similarly for Φ_4. Thus, going back to (69) we have

$$\sum_k \|[P_k\,,\,X]F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim \Delta_0\|F\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}+\|F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

■

Proof of lemma 3.30. Recall that our goal is to prove the estimate

$$\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim \min\left(2^m\tau^{\frac{1}{2}},2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|P_m F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}.$$

We first observe that,

$$\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim 2^m\min\left(1,2^{-4m}(\tau')^{-2}\right)\|P_m F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \tag{71}$$

Indeed we have both

$$\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim 2^m\|P_m F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim 2^m\|P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim 2^m 2^{-4m}\|P_m\Delta^2 U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim 2^m 2^{-4m}(\tau')^{-2}\|P_m F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

To show that,

$$\begin{aligned}I_m(\tau) &= \|P_m F\|^{-1}_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\cdot\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \min\left(2^m\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\,,\,2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

it suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned}I_m(\tau) &\lesssim 2^m\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad \tau\leq 2^{-2m}\\ I_m(\tau) &\lesssim 2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad \tau\geq 2^{-2m}\end{aligned}$$

Using (71) we infer that,

$$I_m(\tau) \lesssim 2^m\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\min\left(1,2^{-4m}(\tau')^{-2}\right)d\tau'$$

For $\tau \leq 2^{-2m}$ we have,

$$I_m(\tau) \quad \lesssim \quad 2^m \int_0^\tau (\tau - \tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau' \lesssim 2^m \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For $\tau \geq 2^{-2m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_m(\tau) \quad &\lesssim \quad 2^{-3m} \int_{2^{-2m}}^\tau (\tau - \tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\tau')^{-2} d\tau' + 2^m \int_0^{2^{-2m}} (\tau - \tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau' \\ &\lesssim \quad 2^{-m} \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

4. Main results

We are now ready to state our main results. They can be viewed as extensions of results mentioned in the introduction, see proposition 1.5, to null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{H}$ verifying the assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **WS** and **K1**, **K2**. The first is a generalized sharp bilinear trace theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Bilinear-Trace-Transport)**.** *Consider the transport equation along $\mathcal{H}$:*

$$\nabla_L W = \nabla_L F \cdot G$$

for S-tangent tensors W, F, G. Then,

$$\|W\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|W|_{S_0}\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} + \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \tag{72}$$

Remark 4.2. We have a stronger estimate in the case of a transport equation for a *scalar* function w.

$$\|w\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|w|_{S_0}\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} + \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G]. \tag{73}$$

The next two theorems are the noncommutative versions of the sharp product estimates of proposition 1.3.

Theorem 4.3 (Product-Transport I)**.** *Consider the transport equation along $\mathcal{H}$:*

$$\nabla_L W = F \cdot G$$

for S-tangent tensors W, F, G. We have the estimate,

$$\|W\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|W|_{S_0}\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} + \|F\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \tag{74}$$

Theorem 4.4 (Product-Transport II)**.** *Given any pair of S-tangent tensors G, W, of same type such that W satisfies a transport equation, of the form,*

$$\nabla_L W = F.$$

Then,

$$\|G \cdot W\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \lesssim \big(\|F\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} + \|W|_{S_0}\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)}\big) \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \tag{75}$$

As a consequence of theorems 4.1, 4.3 we derive the following.

Theorem 4.5 (Sharp-Trace)**.** *For any S-tangent tensor F, which allows a decomposition of the form $\nabla F = \nabla_L \check{F} + G$, we have*

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] + \mathcal{N}_1[\check{F}] + \|G\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \tag{76}$$

Proof : The proof can be found in section 5 of [Kl-Rodn1]. The idea is to introduce the scalar function $f(t) = \int_0^t |F|^2$ and observe that it verifies the transport equation,

$$\nabla_L f = |F|^2, \qquad U(0) = 0.$$

Differentiating it one derives,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_L(\nabla f) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi(\nabla f) &= 2F \cdot \nabla F - \hat{\chi} \cdot (\nabla f) \\ &= 2F \cdot \nabla_L \check{F} + 2F \cdot G - \hat{\chi} \cdot (\nabla f) \end{aligned}$$

and apply[15] propositions 4.1, 4.3. ■

In particular, we have the following noncommutative version of the classical sharp trace theorem.

Corollary 4.6. *For any S-tangent tensor F*

$$\int_0^t |\nabla_L F|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{H}} \left(|\nabla^2 F|^2 + |\nabla_L^2 F|^2 + |F|^2 \right) \tag{77}$$

4.7. **Reduction to scalar estimates.**

The first two transport theorems can be reduced to the case of a scalar transport equation. More precisely, we have the following

Proposition 4.8. *Assume that the conclusions of theorems 4.1 and 4.3 have been verified for scalar transport equations. Then they also hold true in the tensor case. Moreover , in the particular case of theorems 4.3, and 4.4 we can reduce the corresponding estimates to a fully scalar situation, i.e both F and G are scalar functions.*

Proof : In view of the scalar characterization of the space $\mathcal{B}^0$ stated in proposition 3.28 it suffices to do the following. We multiply the transport equation for a tensor[16] W, in either of the theorems, by the vectorfields X to derive a scalar equation

$$\nabla_L(X \cdot W) = \nabla_L F \cdot (G \widehat{\otimes} X) \qquad \left(\text{or } \ F \cdot (G \widehat{\otimes} X) \right)$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes either a tensor product or a contraction. It only remains to observe that the norm $\mathcal{N}_1[G\widehat{\otimes}X] + \|G\widehat{\otimes}X\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}$ is invariant with respect to a tensor multiplication by a vectorfield X with the properties guaranteed by proposition 3.28. To prove the second part of the proposition consider the case of theorem 4.3 where we have already reduced to the case $\nabla_L w = F \cdot G$ with w scalar and $F \cdot G$ denotes the scalar product between two tensorfields. Clearly $F \cdot G$ can be expressed, at every point, as a product between various scalar components of F and G inner

[15] One has to take some care to eliminate the term $\mathrm{tr}\chi \cdot \nabla f$ first, as it is done in [Kl-Rodn1].

[16] Assume for simplicity that W is a 1-form

product with the vectorfields X_i and the components of the metric γ. Therefore we can apply the proposition 3.28 to each of the scalar components[17] and derive our result in view of the invariance of the norms involved. ■

The reduction to scalar equations is a very important simplification in so far as it allows us to work with integral estimates. We state below a result which, in view of the reduction made above, implies theorems 4.1, 4.3.

Theorem 4.9. *The following statements hold true for arbitrary S tangent tensorfields F, G of same order*[18]*:*

$$\| \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G \|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \tag{78}$$

$$\| \int_0^t F \cdot G \|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|F\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big) \tag{79}$$

Moreover for solutions of the homogeneous scalar transport equation $\nabla_L w = 0$ we have,

$$\|w\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|w|_{S_0}\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \tag{80}$$

Indeed, once we are in the scalar case, for example in the case of theorem 4.1, $\nabla_L w = F \cdot G$, we can integrate and therefore reduce the statement of the theorem to,

$$\sum_k \|P_k \int_0^t F \cdot G\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\big)$$

Remark 4.10. We can also prove a more precise dyadic version of the estimate (79): for any $k \geq 0$ and some $\sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k \int_0^t F \cdot G\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \quad \lesssim \quad & \Big(\sum_{k'} 2^{-\sigma|k-k'|} \|P_{k'} F\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + 2^{-\sigma k} \|F\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\Big) \cdot \\ \cdot \quad & \Big(\mathcal{N}_1[G] + \|G\|\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

5. Some dyadic estimates

In the proof of the theorems we shall need the notion of an $\mathcal{N}_1$ envelope of a tensorfield. It plays the role of an LP -localized version of the $\mathcal{N}_1$ norm of definition 3.7.

[17]The components of the metric γ can be combined with G.

[18]such that $F \cdot G$ denotes a scalar.

Definition 5.1. For a given smooth S-tangent tensor-field F and a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ we define its $\mathcal{N}_1$ -envelope (of order[19] ϵ) to be *any* sequence of positive real numbers $\mathcal{N}_1[F_k]$ satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] &\lesssim 2^{\epsilon|k-k'|}\mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}], \qquad \text{for any } k, k', \\ \sum_k \mathcal{N}_1[F_k]^2 &\approx \mathcal{N}_1[F]^2, \end{aligned}$$

The existence of an envelope follows from the following elementary construction. Let $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1[F_k]$, be defined as follows:

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1[F_k] = \|F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|\nabla F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|(\nabla_L F)_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}, \tag{82}$$

Note that, in view of proposition 3.22,

$$\sum_k \bar{N}_1[F_k]^2 \approx \mathcal{N}_1[F]^2.$$

We now easily check that the sequences

$$\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \quad = \quad \sum_{k'} 2^{-\epsilon|k-k'|}\bar{\mathcal{N}}_1[F_{k'}]$$

are desired envelopes. The following simple result provides us with a useful tool in handling various error terms.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\{\mathcal{N}_1[F_k]\}$ be an envelope (of order ϵ) for a tensor-field F. Then for any $0 < \alpha \leq \epsilon$ the sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_1[F_k]+2^{-\alpha k}\mathcal{N}_1[F]\}$ is also an envelope. Moreover, for any $\alpha > \epsilon$ the sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] + 2^{-\alpha k}\mathcal{N}_1[F]\}$ is dominated by an $\mathcal{N}_1$-envelope for F.*

Proof : The first part of the lemma is obvious from the definition. From a purely technical point of view $\{\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] + 2^{-\alpha k}\mathcal{N}_1[F]\}$ is not an an envelope of order ϵ if $\alpha \geq \epsilon$ yet it can be clearly dominated by one. ■

We now formulate and prove a number of results which will be routinely used in the proof of our main theorem. The next result allows us to treat the multitude of commutator terms with ∇_L which will appear throughout the next sections.

Lemma 5.3. *For any smooth S-tangent tensor field F and all $q < 2$ sufficiently close to $q = 2$[20],*

$$\|[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} + 2^{-k}\|\nabla[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+}\mathcal{N}_1[F] \tag{83}$$

while for $q = 1$,

$$\|[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} + 2^{-k}\|\nabla[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-k+}\mathcal{N}_1[F] \tag{84}$$

Proof : See section 12. ■

As a corollary of this we see how to control the ∇_L-derivative of the LP pieces of a tensor-field f in terms of its envelope.

[19]Unless otherwise specified we shall assume that ϵ is a fixed, sufficiently small constant.

[20]By $2^{-\frac{k}{2}+}$ we mean 2^{-ak} with $a < 1/2$ arbitrarily close to $1/2$.

Lemma 5.4. *For all q, $1 \leq q < 2$ sufficiently close to $q = 2$ and any smooth S-tangent tensor-field F,*

$$\|\nabla_L F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \tag{85}$$

for some $\mathcal{N}_1$-envelope for f.

Proof : We write

$$\nabla_L F_k = P_k \nabla_L F + [P_k, \nabla_L] F$$

The commutator estimate of Lemma 5.3 implies that

$$\|[P_k, \nabla_L] F\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla_L F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|P_k \nabla_L F\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F_k]$$

■

The above result can be complemented by a dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate with respect to the time variable t.

Lemma 5.5. *For any smooth S-tangent tensor field f, any $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, we have the following dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality*

$$\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-k(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q})} \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \tag{$\mathbf{GN_k}$}$$

Proof : First, we trivially estimate

$$\|F_k\|^q_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|F_k\|^2_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|^{q-2}_{L^2_x L^\infty_t}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_k\|^2_{L^\infty_t} &\lesssim \|\nabla_L F_k \cdot f_k\|_{L^1_t} + \|F_k\|^2_{L^2_t} \\ &\lesssim \|(\nabla_L F)_k \cdot F_k\|_{L^1_t} + \|[P_k, \nabla_L] F \cdot F_k\|_{L^1_t} + \|F_k\|^2_{L^2_t} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|F_k\|^2_{L^2_x L^\infty_t} \lesssim \|(\nabla_L F)_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|[P_k, \nabla_L] F \cdot F_k\|_{L^1_t L^1_x} + \|F_k\|^2_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

We choose an exponent $r < 2$ sufficiently close to $r = 2$ and estimate the commutator term as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \|[P_k, \nabla_L] F \cdot F_k\|_{L^1_t L^1_x} &\lesssim \|[P_k, \nabla_L] F\|_{L^r_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|_{L^{r'}_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \|F_k\|_{L^{r'}_t L^2_x} \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimates we obtain that

$$\|F_k\|^q_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|F_k\|^2_{L^2_t L^2_x} \Big(\|(\nabla_L F)_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \|F_k\|_{L^{r'}_t L^2_x} + \|F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \Big)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}$$

It follows that for all $r' > 2$ sufficiently close to $r' = 2$,

$$\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|(\nabla_L F)_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}}_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \|F_k\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} \|F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}_{L^{r'}_t L^2_x} \|F_k\|^{\frac{2}{q}}_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Using the above estimate with $q = r'$ and then plugging the result into the above estimate for a given q, we obtain that for any $\alpha < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2q}$,

$$\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-k(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q})}\Big(\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] + 2^{-\alpha k}\mathcal{N}_1[F]\Big).$$

The desired result now easily follows. ■

Lemma 5.6. *For any smooth S-tangent tensor-field F and any Lebesque exponent $2 \leq q < 4$,*

$$\|\nabla F_k\|_{L^q_t L^4_x} \lesssim 2^{k(1-\frac{1}{q})}\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \tag{86}$$

Proof By Gagliardo-Nirenberg (39),

$$\|\nabla F_k\|_{L^q_t L^4_x} \lesssim \big\|\|\nabla^2 F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x}\|\nabla F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x}\big\|_{L^q_t}$$

On the other hand, according to the Bochner inequality[21] (41),

$$\|\nabla^2 F_k\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\Delta F_k\|_{L^2_x} + \|K\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}_{L^2_x}\|\nabla F_k\|_{L^2_x}$$

for any $2 \leq p < \infty$. Choose the exponent p such that

$$2 = \frac{qp}{2(p-1)}$$

The existence of such p is guaranteed by the condition that $q < 4$. Thus, using Hölder inequality and condition **K1**,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla F_k\|_{L^q_t L^4_x} &\lesssim \|\Delta F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^q_t L^2_x}\|\nabla F_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^q_t L^2_x} + \|\nabla F_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3k}{2}}\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} + 2^k\|F_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [$\mathbf{GN_k}$] of Lemma 5.5. ■

Our next result is the integrated version of the strong Bernstein inequality.

Lemma 5.7. *For any S-tangent tensor-field F and exponent $2 \leq q < \infty$,*

$$\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^\infty_x} \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \tag{87}$$

Proof : Observe that the dyadic L^∞ inequality of x) of proposition 3.20 implies that for all sufficiently large p

$$\|F_k\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim 2^k(1 + 2^{-\frac{1}{p}k}\|K\|^{\frac{1}{p}}_{L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}k}\|K\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^2_x})\|F_k\|_{L^2_x}$$

Taking the L^q_t norm we and using the condition **K1** we obtain

$$\|F_k\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim 2^k\|F_k\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{1}{p}k}\|F_k\|_{L_t^{\frac{2qp}{2p-q}} L^2_x} + 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}k}\|F_k\|_{L_t^{\frac{2q(p-1)}{2(p-1)-q}} L^2_x}$$

Thus, applying the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate of Lemma 5.5 we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_k\|_{L^\infty_x} &\lesssim \big(2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} + 2^{-\frac{1}{p}k}\cdot 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2p-q}{2pq})} + 2^{-\frac{1}{p-1}k}\cdot 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2(p-1)-q}{2(p-1)q})}\big)\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \\ &\lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}\cdot\big(1 + 2^{-\frac{1}{2p}k} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2(p-1)}k}\big)\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \\ &\lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_k]\end{aligned}$$

[21]Simplified a bit and ignoring lower order terms.

as desired. ■

6. Notations. Outline of the remainder of the paper

In the proof we shall often refer to various properties of the LP calculus and other analytical tools developed above. To help the reader we give below a glossary of our main notations:

[**Hö**] - Hölder inequality
[**Leib**] - Leibnitz rule
[**GN**] – Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimates in (39)
[**Env**] - envelope properties of definition 5.1
[∇**FB**] - derivative finite band condition of v) of proposition 3.20
[**FB**∇] - dual derivative finite band condition of v) of proposition 3.20
[∇**FB**$^{-1}$] - inverse derivative finite band condition of v) of proposition 3.20
[Δ**FB**] - laplacean finite band condition of v) of proposition 3.20
[Δ**FB**$^{-1}$] - dual laplacean finite band condition of v) of proposition 3.20
[**wB**] - weak Bernstein inequality of vi) of proposition 3.20
[**wB***] - dual weak Bernstein inequality of vi) of proposition 3.20
[**ssB**] - strong scalar Bernstein inequality of vii) of proposition 3.20
[**ssB***] - dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality of vii) of proposition 3.20
[**GN**$_k$] - dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of lemma 5.5
[,] - commutator estimates of lemma 5.3

The remainder of the paper is focused on the proof of theorems 4.4 and 4.9.

We start with section 7 where we prove an integration by parts lemma which is the noncommutative counterpart of lemma 2.2.

Section 8 contains the proof of the sharp bilinear trace estimate of theorem 4.9. The proof follows the outline of the corresponding flat statement with modifications taking into the account non-commutativity and absence of the strong tensor Bernstein inequality.

Sections 9 and 10 provide the proofs of the integrated sharp product estimates I and II of theorems 4.9 and 4.4.

In section 11 we finish the proof of theorem 4.9 by establishing the $\mathcal{B}^0$ estimates for solutions of a homogeneous scalar transport equation. The proof is quickly reduced to an estimate for the commutator $[\nabla_L, P_k]$ applied to a scalar $\mathcal{B}^0$ function.

Finally, section 12 contains the proof of non-sharp tensor commutator estimates for $[\nabla_L, P_k]$ needed to control various error terms throughout the paper.

7. Dyadic integration by parts

In this section we shall deal with an integration by parts lemma which is needed in the proof of the sharp bilinear trace theorem of theorem 4.9. The lemma is the non-commutative analogue of the integration by parts argument in the proof of the flat sharp bilinear trace estimate of proposition 1.2.

We shall estimate the time integral of the expression

$$\text{“}\nabla_L\text{”}\big(F_{k'}\cdot G_{k''}\big) := (\nabla_L F)_{k'}\cdot G_{k''} + F_{k'}\cdot(\nabla_L G)_{k''},$$

where F, G are tensors of the same order and $\cdot$ denotes the scalar product. Observe that the expression above differs from the perfect derivative $\frac{d}{ds}\big(F_{k'}\cdot G_{k''}\big)$ by the commutator terms

$$[P_{k'},\nabla_L]F\cdot G_{k''}, \qquad F_{k'}\cdot[P_{k''},\nabla_L]G$$

Proposition 7.1. *Let F, G be tensors of same order. Then for any k, k', k'',*

$$\|P_k\int_0^t \text{“}\nabla_L\text{”}\big(F_{k'}\cdot G_{k''}\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma(|k'-k''|+|k'-k|)}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}]\cdot\mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]$$

with a strictly positive σ independent of k, k', k''.

Proof : By symmetry it suffices to consider the following three cases:
a) $k'\geq k''\geq k$, **b)** $k'\geq k>k''$, **c)** $k>k'\geq k''$
In all of the cases the proof of the proposition reduces to the estimate for the commutators

$$\|P_k\int_0^t [P_{k'},\nabla_L]F\cdot G_{k''}\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma(|k'-k''|+|k'-k|)}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}]\cdot\mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}], \quad (88)$$

$$\|P_k\int_0^t F_{k'}\cdot[P_{k''},\nabla_L]G\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma(|k'-k''|+|k'-k|)}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}]\cdot\mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}] \quad (89)$$

as well as the estimate for the boundary terms

$$\|P_k\big(F_{k'}(t)\cdot G_{k''}(t)-F_{k'}(0)\cdot G_{k''}(0)\big)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^{-\sigma(|k'-k''|+|k'-k|)}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}]\cdot\mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}] \quad (90)$$

Since $k''\leq k'$ the estimate (89) is more sensitive than (88) and we shall only prove it and (90) in what follows.

We start with the easier of the three case.

a) We start by applying the dual strong Bernstein inequality for scalars followed by Cauchy-Schwartz with $q<2$, the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of Lemma

5.5 and the commutator estimate of Lemma 5.3, to infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k \int_0^t F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^k \|F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^1 L_x^1} && [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\
&\lesssim 2^k \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^2} \|[P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} && [\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \\
[\mathbf{GN}_k] \,\&\, [\,,\,] &\lesssim 2^k 2^{-k'(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q'})} 2^{-\frac{k''}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q'})(k'-k'')} 2^{-(k''-k)} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}k''} \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
[\mathbf{Env}] &\lesssim 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q'})(k'-k'')} 2^{-(k''-k)} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for the boundary terms proceeds as follows. Using the dual strong Bernstein inequality for scalars followed by the Cauchy-Schwartz and the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate of Lemma 5.5 with $q = \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k\big(F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\big)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^k \|F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} && [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\
&\lesssim 2^k \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \\
&\lesssim 2^{k-\frac{k'}{2}-\frac{k''}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}] && [\mathbf{GN}_k] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(k'-k'')} 2^{-(k''-k)} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

b) We apply the Hölder inequality with $q < 2$ followed by the Gagliardo-Nirenebrg and weak Bernstein inequalities in the x variable, the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of Lemma 5.5, and finally the commutator estimates of Lemma 5.3, to infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k \int_0^t F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^4} \|[P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^q L_x^4} && [\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \\
[\mathbf{wB}] \,\&\, [\mathbf{GN}] &\lesssim 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^2} \|\nabla [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^q L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^q L_x^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
[\mathbf{GN}_k] \,\&\, [\,,\,] &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}k'} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}k''} \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
[\mathbf{Env}] &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

The boundary terms are estimated with the help of the Hölder inequality followed by the weak Bernstein inequality and the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate of Lemma 5.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k\big(F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\big)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{8}{3}}} \|G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^8} && [\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \\
[\mathbf{wB}] &\lesssim 2^{\frac{1}{4}k' + \frac{3}{4}k''} \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\mathbf{GN}_k] &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(k'-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{8}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{8}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

c) We start by applying the inverse finite band condition followed by Lemma 3.11 and Hölder inequality with an exponent $1 \leq q < 2$ chosen to be sufficiently close to

$q = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k \int_0^t F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla \int_0^t F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L] G\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\nabla \mathbf{FB^{-1}}] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla \big(F_{k'} \cdot [P_{k''}, \nabla_L]\, G\big)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \\
[\mathbf{Hö}] \,\&\, [\mathbf{Leib}] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla F_{k'}\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^4} \|[P_{k''}, \nabla_L]\, G\|_{L_t^q L_x^4} \\
&+ 2^{-k} \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^\infty} \|\nabla [P_{k''}, \nabla_L]\, G\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} \\
&= C^{(1)}_{kk'k''} + C^{(2)}_{kk'k''}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first term above we use the derivative Bernstein inequality of Lemma 5.6 together with the Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate followed by the commutator estimates of Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{(1)}_{kk'k''} &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{k'(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'})} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \|\nabla [P_{k''}, \nabla_L]\, G\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^q L_x^2} \|[P_{k''}, \nabla_L]\, G\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^q L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{k'(1 - \frac{1}{q'})} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}k''} \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{2q'}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term $C^{(2)}_{kk'k''}$ we apply the integrated version of the strong Bernstein inequality of Lemma 5.7 together with the commutator estimate of Lemma 5.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
C^{(2)}_{kk'k''} &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{k'(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q'})} 2^{-\frac{k''}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot 2^{-k''(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{q'})} \mathcal{N}_1[G] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{q'}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the boundary terms for the low-low interaction ($k'' \leq k' < k$) we argue as follows. We start by applying the finite band property,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_k(F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^{-2k} \|\Delta(F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\Delta \mathbf{FB^{-1}}] \\
[\mathbf{Leib}] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-2k} \|\Delta F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + 2^{-2k} \|F_{k'} \cdot \Delta G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&+ 2^{-2k} \|P_k(\nabla F_{k'} \cdot \nabla G_{k''})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\Delta \mathbf{FB}] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-2(k-k')} \|F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + 2^{-2(k-k'')} \|F_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&+ 2^{-2k} \|P_k(\nabla F_{k'} \cdot \nabla G_{k''})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}
\end{aligned}$$

The most difficult is the last term. We apply the dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality followed by Hölder inequality, finite band property, and the dyadic Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of Lemma 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-2k} \|P_k(\nabla F_{k'} \cdot \nabla G_{k''})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla F_{k'} \cdot \nabla G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} && [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\
[\mathbf{Hö}] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla F_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\nabla G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\nabla \mathbf{FB}] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-k+k'+k''} \|F_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|G_{k''}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\mathbf{GN}_k] \qquad &\lesssim 2^{-k+\frac{k'}{2}+\frac{k''}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(k'-k'')} 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(k-k'')} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k'}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k''}]
\end{aligned}$$

■

8. Proof of the sharp bilinear trace theorem

In this section we provide the proof of the sharp bilinear trace estimate (78) of theorem 4.9:

$$\| \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G \|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G]. \tag{91}$$

In fact it suffices to prove,

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \| P_k \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G]. \tag{92}$$

Indeed for the low frequencies we can use the dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality [**ssB***],

$$\begin{aligned} \| P_{<0} \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \| \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G \|_{L^\infty_t L^1_x} \qquad [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\ &\lesssim \| \nabla_L F \|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \cdot \| G \|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G]. \end{aligned}$$

We start with the LP - decompositions of $\nabla_L F \cdot G$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_L F \cdot G) &= (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{\geq k} + (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{<k} + (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{\geq k} \\ &+ (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{<k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P_k \int_0^t \nabla_L F \cdot G = A_k + B_k + C_k + D_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{\geq k}, \qquad B_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{<k} \\ C_k &= P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{<k}, \qquad D_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{\geq k} \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

8.1. Estimates for $A_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{\geq k}$.

This is the easiest term. We start by giving a "slightly wrong " proof based on the use of strong, tensorial form, of the Bernstein inequality "[**stB**]":

$$\| P_k F \|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim 2^k \| F \|_{L^2_x} \tag{94}$$

which we don't in fact possess. We shall indicate however how to circumvent this problem in remark 8.2.

Indeed, using (94),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
[\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_x} \|G_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
\text{“}[\mathbf{stB}^*]\text{”} \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_x} \|G_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
[\nabla \mathbf{FB}^{-1}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'-k''} \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla G_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k \|A_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'-k''} \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla g_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k'<k''} 2^{\frac{k'-k''}{2}} \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla G_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.2. It is easy to see that we don't need (94); indeed we can replace it with the weak Bernstein inequalities for $L^{p'}, L^{p''}$, with $p'^{-1} + p''^{-1} = 2^{-1}$ and $p'' << p'$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_k\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
[\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^{p'}_x} \|G_{k''}\|_{L^{p''}_x}\, dt \\
[\mathbf{wB}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{k'(1-\frac{2}{p'})} 2^{k''(1-\frac{2}{p''})} \int_0^1 \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_x} \|G_{k''}\|_{L^2_x}\, dt \\
[\nabla \mathbf{FB}^{-1}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k\leq k''} 2^{(k'-k'')\cdot\frac{2}{p''}} \|(\nabla_L F)_{k'}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \|\nabla G_{k''}\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof then follows as before.

8.3. **Estimates for** $D_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{\geq k}$**.**

We write, $D_k = D_k^1 + D_k^2$ where,

$$D_k^1 = \sum_{k\leq k'\leq k''} P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}, \qquad D_k^2 = \sum_{k\leq k'<k''} P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{k''} \cdot G_{k'}$$

We only provide the proof for the term D_k^2 which is more difficult to treat since the ∇_L derivative there falls on the factor with a higher frequency. The term D_k^1 can be treated in the same way without the integration by parts.

We need to apply the integration by parts estimate of proposition 7.1 followed by the scalar dual strong Bernstein inequality, Hölder, finite band and the property of

envelopes,

$$\mathcal{N}_1[F_m] \lesssim 2^{\epsilon|m-l|}\mathcal{N}_1[F_l], \qquad \forall m, l \geq 0$$

Thus, writing

$$(\nabla_L F)_{k''} \cdot G_{k'} = \text{“}\nabla_L\text{”}(F_{k''} \cdot G_{k'}) - F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}.$$

we derive, for $\sigma > \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_k^2\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k \leq k' < k''} \|P_k \int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\textbf{prop. 7.1}] \\
&+ \sum_{k \leq k' < k''} 2^{-\sigma\left(|k'-k''|+|k-k'|\right)} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k''}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k'}] \\
[\textbf{ssB}]\,\&\,[\textbf{Env}] &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k \lesssim k' < k''} \|\int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} + \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k] \\
[\textbf{Hö}] &\lesssim 2^k \sum_{k \lesssim k' < k''} \|F_{k''}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|(\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} + \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k] \\
[\textbf{Env}] &\lesssim \sum_{k \lesssim k' < k''} 2^{k-k''} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k''}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k'}] + \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k] \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_k \|D_k^2\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_k \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k] \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G]$$

8.4. **Estimates for** $B_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{\geq k} \cdot G_{<k}$**.**

We start by decomposing,

$$B_k = \sum_{k' < k \leq k''} P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{k''} \cdot G_{k'}$$

Integrating by parts with the help of proposition 7.1 we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_k\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k' < k \leq k''} \left(\|\int_{\gamma_t} F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + 2^{-\sigma\left(|k'-k''|+|k-k'|\right)} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k''}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k'}] \right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k' < k \leq k''} \|\int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k]
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the sum on the right hand side we proceed exactly as in the proof for A_k.

Estimates for $C_k = P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{<k} \cdot G_{<k}$ This term, which is absent in the classical paradifferential calculus, is by far the most difficult and requires a lot more

work than the previous ones. We further decompose

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= C_k^{(1)} + C_k^{(2)}, \\ C_k^{(1)} &= \sum_{k' \leq k'' < k} P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{k'} \cdot G_{k''}, \\ C_k^{(2)} &= \sum_{k' < k'' < k} P_k \int_0^t (\nabla_L F)_{k''} \cdot G_{k'} \end{aligned}$$

As before we ignore the term $C_k^{(1)}$ since the term $C_k^{(2)}$ is clearly the more difficult one as the ∇_L derivative falls on a term with higher frequency and thus requires an integration by parts.

We first integrate by parts with the help of proposition 7.1.

$$\|C_k^{(2)}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k' < k'' < k} \|P_k \int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \mathcal{N}_1[F_k] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_k]$$

To control the first term above we need to use first the finite band condition $[\nabla \mathbf{FB^{-1}}]$ followed by Lemma 3.11 and the Leibnitz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_k \int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla \int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\nabla \mathbf{FB^{-1}}] \\ [\mathbf{Le\ 3.11}] \quad &\lesssim 2^{-k} \big(\|\nabla \big(F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\big)\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} + \|F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \big) \\ [\mathbf{Leib}] \quad &\lesssim 2^{-k} \|\nabla F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} + 2^{-k} \|F_{k''} \cdot \nabla (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \\ &+ 2^{-k} \|F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

To continue it suffices to consider only the first term since in that case the derivative falls on a term with higher frequency. Using Hölder followed by the inequality (86) of Lemma 5.6 and the weak Bernstein inequality, we infer that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla F_{k''}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^4} \|(\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^4} && [\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] \\ [(86)] \quad &\lesssim 2^{\frac{k''+k'}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k''}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k'}] \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \sum_{k' < k'' < k} \|P_k \int_0^t F_{k''} \cdot (\nabla_L G)_{k'}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_k \sum_{k' < k'' < k} 2^{\frac{k''+k'}{2} - k} \mathcal{N}_1[F_{k''}] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G_{k'}] \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[G] \end{aligned}$$

9. Integrated sharp product estimate I

In this section we prove the integrated sharp product estimate (79) of theorem 4.9. We have already shown that it suffices to prove the estimate only in a fully scalar case. Namely, that for two scalar functions f and g,

$$\|\int_0^t f \cdot g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \cdot \big(\mathcal{N}_1[g] + \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}\big) \tag{95}$$

Observe that it suffices to prove,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\|P_k\int_0^t f\cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}\cdot\big(\mathcal{N}_1[g]+\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}\big) \tag{96}$$

Remark 9.1. The proof below will show that we have, in fact, a stronger dyadic estimate: for all $k\geq 0$,

$$\|P_k\int_0^t f\cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\lesssim \big(\mathcal{N}_1[g]+\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}\big)\cdot\Big(\sum_{k'}2^{-|k-k'|}\|P_{k'}f\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}+2^{-k}\|f\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\Big),$$

needed to establish the second part of theorem 4.3.

We start by applying the LP-decomposition to $f\cdot g$.

$$f\cdot g=f_{<k}\cdot g+f_{\geq k}\cdot g$$

Thus,

$$P_k\big(\int_0^t f\cdot g\big)=A_k+B_k,$$

where

$$A_k=P_k\int_0^t f_{<k}\cdot g,\qquad B_k=P_k\int_0^t f_{\geq k}\cdot g$$

9.2. **Estimates for** $A_k=P_k\int_0^t f_{<k}\cdot g$**.**

Applying the dual finite band condition followed by (31) of Lemma 3.11 and the Leibnitz rule we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_k\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla\int_0^t f_{<k}\cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} && [\nabla\mathbf{FB}^{-1}]\\
&\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla(f_{<k}\cdot g)\|_{L_x^2L_t^1}\\
[\mathbf{Leib}] &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla f_{<k}\cdot g\|_{L_x^2L_t^1}+2^{-k}\|f_{<k}\cdot\nabla g\|_{L_x^2L_t^1}\\
[\mathbf{H\ddot{o}}] &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla f_{<k}\|_{L_x^2L_t^2}\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}+2^{-k}\|f_{<k}\|_{L_t^2L_x^\infty}\|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\\
[\mathbf{FB}]\,\&\,[\mathbf{ssB}] &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k}2^{k'-k}\|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\big(\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}+\|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k\|A_k\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \big(\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}+\|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\big)\sum_k\sum_{k'<k}2^{k'-k}\|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\\
&\lesssim \big(\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}+\|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\big)\sum_{k'}\|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}\cdot\big(\|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}+\|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

9.3. **Estimates for $B_k = P_k \int_0^t f_{\geq k} \cdot g$.** We decompose $f_{\geq k} = \sum_{k' \geq k} f_{k'}$ and on each k'' dyadic LP-piece apply the finite band condition $f_{k'} \approx 2^{-2k'} \Delta f_{k'}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_k\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \|P_k \int_0^t f_{\geq k} \cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} \|P_k \int_0^t f_{k'} \cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\mathbf{\Delta FB^{-1}}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{-2k'} \|P_k \int_0^t \Delta f_{k'} \cdot g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{-2k'} \|P_k \int_0^t \text{div } \left(\nabla f_{k'} \cdot g\right)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&+ \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{-2k'} \|P_k \int_0^t \nabla f_{k'} \cdot \nabla g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} = B_k^{(1)} + B_k^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $B_k^{(1)}$ we use a result of Lemma 3.13 according to which for any vector-field F

$$\int_0^t \text{div } F = \text{div } W + E, \tag{97}$$

where W is a solution of the transport equation

$$\nabla_L W - \chi \cdot W = F, \quad W|_{S_0} = 0$$

and the error term E satisfies the estimate

$$\|E\|_{L_x^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_x^p L_t^1} \tag{98}$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Note that for any $r \geq 1$ we have the following estimate for W:

$$\|W\|_{L_x^r L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_x^r L_t^1} \tag{99}$$

Therefore we use the representation (97) with $F = \nabla f_{k'} \cdot g$, apply the dual finite band condition together with strong scalar Bernstein inequality followed by the estimates (98) and (99) with $p = 1$ and $r = 2$, and finite band condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
B_k^{(1)} &\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{-2k'} \|P_k \text{div } W\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{-2k'} \|P_k E\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
[\mathbf{FB\nabla}] \,\&\, [\mathbf{ssB^*}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|W\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|E\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|\nabla f_{k'} \cdot g\|_{L_x^2 L_t^1} + \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|\nabla f_{k'} \cdot g\|_{L_x^2 L_t^1} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|\nabla f_{k'}\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\
[\mathbf{\nabla FB}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'' \geq k} 2^{k-k'} \|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_k B_k^{(1)} \lesssim \sum_k \sum_{k' \geq k} 2^{k-k'} \|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}$$

We now estimate the term $B_k^{(2)}$. Using the dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality followed by Hölder inequality and the finite band property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_k^{(2)} &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \| \int_0^t \nabla f_{k'} \cdot \nabla g\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^1} && [\mathbf{ssB}^*] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{k-2k'} \|\nabla f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|\nabla g\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\ [\nabla\mathbf{FB}] \qquad &\lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{k-k'} \|f_{k'}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|g\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as in the case of $B_k^{(1)}$,

$$\sum_k B_k^{(2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}$$

It then follows that

$$\sum_k \|B_k\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0} \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}$$

10. Integrated sharp product estimate II

In this section we indicate how to prove the sharp product estimate of theorem 4.4, which has already been reduced to the fully scalar case. For scalar functions w, g. where w is a solution of the transport equation

$$\nabla_L w = f, \qquad f|_{S_0} = f_0,$$

we need to prove the estimate

$$\|w \cdot g\,\|_{P^0} \lesssim \big(\|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}\big)\big(\mathcal{N}_1[g] + \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}\big) \tag{100}$$

Proof : We define the functions $w_{(<k)}, w_{(k)}, w_{(\geq k)}$ as solutions of the transport equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_L w_{(<k)} &= f_{<k}, \qquad w_{(<k)}|_{S_0} = f_{0<k}, \\ \nabla_L w_{(k)} &= f_{<k}, \qquad w_{(k)}|_{S_0} = f_{0k}, \\ \nabla_L w_{(\geq k)} &= f_{<k}, \qquad w_{(\geq k)}|_{S_0} = f_{0\geq k}, \end{aligned}$$

Observe that according to the results of lemmas 3.6 and 3.11 we have

$$\|w_{([s]k)}\|_{L_x^p L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|f_{0[s]k}\|_{L_x^p} + \|f_{[s]k}\|_{L_x^p L_t^1}, \tag{101}$$

$$\|\nabla w_{([s]k)}\|_{L_x^p L_t^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla f_{0[s]k}\|_{L_x^p} + \|\nabla f_{[s]k}\|_{L_x^p L_t^1} \tag{102}$$

where $[s]k =< k, k, \geq k$. We now consider the following LP-decomposition of $F \cdot G$:

$$w \cdot g = w_{(<k)} \cdot g + w_{(\geq k)} \cdot g$$

Thus, $P_k\big(w \cdot g\big) = A_k + B_k$, where

$$A_k = P_k(w_{(<k)} \cdot g), \qquad B_k = P_k(w_{(\geq k)} \cdot f).$$

10.1. **Estimates for $A_k = P_k(w_{(<k)} \cdot g)$.**

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla(w_{(<k)} \cdot g)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} && [\nabla\mathbf{FB}^{-1}]\\
[\mathbf{Leib}] &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla w_{(<k)} \cdot g\|_{L^2_xL^2_t} + 2^{-k}\|w_{(<k)} \cdot \nabla g\|_{L^2_xL^2_t}\\
[\mathbf{Hö}] &\lesssim 2^{-k}\|\nabla w_{(<k)}\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_t}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t} + 2^{-k}\|w_{(<k)}\|_{L^\infty_tL^\infty_x}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-k}\sum_{k'<k}\|\nabla w_{(k')}\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_t}\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t} + 2^{-k}\|w_{(k')}\|_{L^\infty_tL^\infty_x}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\
[(101)] &\lesssim 2^{-k}\sum_{k'<k}\big(\|\nabla f_{0k'}\|_{L^2_x} + \|\nabla f_{k'}\|_{L^2_xL^1_t}\big)\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}\\
&+ 2^{-k}\big(\|f_{0k'}\|_{L^\infty_x} + \|f_{k'}\|_{L^\infty_xL^1_t}\big)\|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\\
[\nabla\mathbf{FB}]\,\&\,[\mathbf{ssB}] &\lesssim \sum_{k'<k}2^{k'-k}\big(\|f_{0k'}\|_{L^2_x} + \|f_{k'}\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\big)\big(\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_k \lesssim \|A_k\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \lesssim \big(\|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}\big)\cdot\big(\|g\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t} + \|\nabla g\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\big)$$

10.2. **Estimates for $B_k = P_k(w_{(\geq k)} \cdot g)$.**

We define the functions $[\Delta w]_{(k')}$ as solutions of the transport equations

$$\nabla_L[\Delta w]_{(k')} = \Delta f_{k'}, \qquad [\Delta w]_{(k')}|_{S_0} = \Delta f_{0k'}$$

Observe that $\Delta f_{k'} \approx 2^{2k'} f_{k'}$ and therefore $[\Delta w]_{(k')} \approx 2^{2k'} w_{(k')}$. In view of this,

$$\|B_k\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k'\geq k} 2^{-2k'}\|P_k\big([\Delta w]_{(k')} \cdot g\big)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}$$

Now, according to lemma 3.13 for $p = 1$, we can write

$$[\Delta w]_{(k')} = \text{div } W_{(k')} + E_{(k')}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_L W_{(k')} - \chi \cdot W_{(k')} &= \nabla f_{k'}, \quad W_{(k')}|_{S_0} = \nabla(f_0)_{k'}\\
\|E_{(k')}\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_t} &\lesssim \|\nabla(f_0)_{k'}\|_{L_2(S_0)} + \|\nabla f_{k'}\|_{L^2_xL^1_t}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof continues essentially as in the proof of the estimates for B_k in the previous section. ■

11. Sharp scalar commutator estimates

The goal of this section is to prove the last part of theorem 4.9. In other words we have to show that any solution f of a homogeneous scalar transport equation

$$\nabla_L f = 0, \qquad f|_{S_0} = f_0$$

verifies the estimate

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} \tag{103}$$

We first observe that it is trivial to take care of the low frequency component $\|P_{<0}f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$ of $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$. Indeed, $\|P_{<0}f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$ and clearly $\|f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \leq \|f_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)}$. Therefore we only have to show that,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} \tag{104}$$

Commuting the equation with the LP-projection we obtain

$$\nabla_L P_k f = [\nabla_L, P_k]f, \qquad (P_k f)|_{S_0} = P_k f_0$$

Thus, $P_k f = P_k f_0 + \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f$ and,

$$\|P_k f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|P_k f_0\|_{L^2(S_0)} + \| \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

Remark 11.1. During the argument below we need to keep track of the intervals of integration in t. For this reason we denote by $\|f(t)\|_{L^q_t L^p_x}$ spacetime norms in the interval $[0,t]$. Often though, when no confusion is possible, we will drop the explicit dependence on t.

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} + \sum_{k\geq 0} \| \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$$

In the proposition below we shall prove the following inequality:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \| \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} + \int_0^t \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(s)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} ds.$$

Thus, for small Δ_0,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|f_0\|_{B^0_{2,1}(S_0)} + \int_0^t \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(s)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} ds.$$

and the desired estimate follows by a straightforward Gronwall inequality. Therefore to prove (104) it suffices to establish the following:

Proposition 11.2. *Let f be a scalar function on $\mathcal{H}$. Then*

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \| \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} + \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_{B^0}\, ds \tag{105}$$

where $\|f(s)\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f(s)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} + \|P_{<0} f(s)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$ in the spirit of the remark above.

Proof : Since $P_k = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) U(\tau) f$ we have,

$$\int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) d\tau \int_0^t [\nabla_L, U(\tau)]f ds$$

or, introducing the notation

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau)f = \int_0^t [\nabla_L, U(\tau)]f, \tag{106}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]f &= \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau + \int_1^\infty m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Observe that the integral $\int_1^\infty m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau$ is a lot easier to estimate. In fact all we need to treat it is to show that $\mathcal{L}(\tau)f$ verifies the estimate,

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tau)f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \tau^K \|f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}, \qquad \text{for} \quad \tau \geq 1 \tag{108}$$

for some positive value of K. Indeed (108) easily implies the better estimate

$$\sum_k \| \int_1^\infty m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

In what follows we shall only concentrate on the more difficult term $\int_0^1 m_k(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\tau)f d\tau$ and ignore the contribution of $\tau \geq 1$.

We start with a simple property of a $\mathcal{B}^0$ function in terms of the heat semigroup $U(\tau)$.

Lemma 11.3. *For any smooth scalar function f on $\mathcal{H}$*

$$\int_0^1 \|\nabla^2 U(\tau)f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\, d\tau \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$$

Proof : First, in view of the scalar Bochner inequality (42),

$$\|\nabla^2 U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\Delta U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2}$$

We now decompose

$$\|\Delta U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \|\Delta P_k U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} + \|f\|_{L_x^2}.$$

We note the following,

$$\|\Delta P_k U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \min(2^{2k}, 2^{-2k}\tau^{-2})\|P_k f\|_{L_x^2} \tag{109}$$

Indeed we have both,

$$\|\Delta P_k U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim 2^{2k}\|P_k f\|_{L_x^2}, \tag{110}$$

$$\|\Delta P_k U(\tau)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \tau^{-1}\|P_k U(\tau/2)f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim 2^{-2k}\tau^{-2}\|P_k f\|_{L_x^2} \tag{111}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \|\nabla^2 U(\tau) f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\, d\tau \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \int_0^1 \|\Delta P_k U(\tau) f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\, d\tau + \|f\|_{L_x^2}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \Big(\int_0^{2^{-2k}} 2^{2k} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\, d\tau + \int_{2^{-2k}}^1 2^{-2k}\tau^{-2} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} d\tau \Big)$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$$

as desired. ■

The main step in proving the proposition is the following:

Lemma 11.4. *The following estimates hold:*

$$\sup_{0\leq \tau\leq 1} \|\mathcal{L}(\tau) f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \tag{112}$$

Moreover for any $\tau \approx 2^{-2m_0}$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tau) f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|m_0-k|} \big(\Delta_0 \cdot \|P_k f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_k f(s)\|_{L_x^2} \big) \tag{113}$$

We postpone the proof of the lemma and show now how (113) implies proposition 11.2. Indeed observe that estimate (113) is equivalent to the bound,

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tau) f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_m \min\big(2^m \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big)^\epsilon \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f(s)\|_{L_x^2} ds\big)$$

Therefore, in view of (107),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\int_0^t [\nabla_L, P_k]\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \int_0^1 m_k(\tau) \|\mathcal{L}(\tau) f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
\lesssim\ & \sum_m \int_0^1 m_k(\tau) \min\big(2^m \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, 2^{-m}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big)^\epsilon \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big) \\
=\ & \sum_m 2^{\epsilon m} \int_0^{2^{-2m}} m_k(\tau) \tau^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big) \\
+\ & \sum_m 2^{-\epsilon m} \int_{2^{-2m}}^1 m_k(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big) \\
=\ & \sum_m 2^{\epsilon(m-k)} \int_0^{2^{2(k-m)}} \tilde{m}(\tau) \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big) \\
+\ & \sum_m 2^{\epsilon(k-m)} \int_{2^{2(k-m)}}^{2^{2k}} \hat{m}(\tau) \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big) \\
\lesssim\ & \sum_m 2^{-|k-m|} \big(\Delta_0 \|P_m f(t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \int_0^t \|P_m f\|_{L_x^2}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\|\int_0^t[\nabla_L,P_k]\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_m\big(\Delta_0\cdot\|P_m f(t)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}+\int_0^t\|P_m f\|_{L^2_x}\big)$$

as desired. ■

Remark 11.5. In the argument above we have used the following simple bounds for $\tilde{m}(\tau)=\tau^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}m(\tau)$ and $\hat{m}(\tau)=\tau^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}m(\tau)$:

$$\int_0^\infty\tilde{m}(\tau),\quad\int_0^\infty\hat{m}(\tau)\lesssim 1,\quad\int_0^a\tilde{m}(\tau)\lesssim a,\quad\int_A^\infty\hat{m}(\tau)\lesssim A^{-1}$$

which hold for all sufficiently small a and all sufficiently large A. Proposition 11.2 now easily follows.

Proof of Lemma 11.4: We prove lemma 11.4 by a bootstrap argument. More precisely we assume that for any $\tau\approx 2^{-2m_0}$ and any g,

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tau)g\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim M\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-\epsilon|m_0-k|}\|P_k g\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\tag{114}$$

with some positive sufficiently large constant M and a fixed positive constant $\epsilon>0$, both independent on the function[22] g. Also, since the estimate above holds for all positive values of m_0 we deduce,

$$\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq 1}\|\mathcal{L}(\tau)g\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim M\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}\tag{115}$$

We shall show that the bounds (114) and (115) implies the stronger estimate

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\tau)g\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}\lesssim\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-\epsilon|m_0-k|}\big(\Delta_0 M\cdot\|P_k g\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}+\int_0^t\|P_k g\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\big)\tag{116}$$

from which the desired estimates (113), 112 follow.

We shall often use the following heat flow estimate similar to (109)

$$\|P_k U(\tau)f\|_{L^2_x}\lesssim(1+2^{2k}\tau)^{-2}\|P_k f\|_{L^2_x}\tag{117}$$

We derive

$$[\nabla_L,U(\tau)]f=\int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')[\nabla_L,\Delta]U(\tau')f\,ds$$

Recall, see proposition 3.12, the following commutator formula for scalars,

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_L,\Delta]f &= -\mathrm{tr}\chi\Delta f+A\cdot\nabla^2 f+\nabla\mathrm{tr}\chi\cdot\nabla f+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\cdot\nabla f\\ &= -\frac{2}{r}\Delta f+A\cdot\nabla^2 f+\nabla\mathrm{tr}\chi\cdot\nabla f+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\cdot\nabla f\end{aligned}$$

[22]Clearly this estimate holds true with a constant M which might depend on more derivatives of the background metric.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\tau)f &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \qquad (118)\\
I_1 &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau U(\tau-\tau')\Big(A\cdot\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\Big)\\
I_2 &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau U(\tau-\tau')\Big(\nabla A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\Big)\\
I_3 &= -\frac{2}{r}\int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau U(\tau-\tau')\Delta U(\tau')f\\
I_4 &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau U(\tau-\tau')\Big((A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate for I_2. Using the dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality for $U(\tau-\tau')$ followed by Hölder and the heat flow estimate (117) , we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2(\tau)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}\|\nabla A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^1_t L^1_x}\\
&\lesssim \|\nabla A\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\cdot\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}\|\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\\
&\lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}\|\nabla P_m U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\\
&\lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m 2^m\|P_m f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\int_0^\tau (1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2}(\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau'
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|I_2(\tau)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m 2^{-|m-m_0|}\|P_m f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \quad \text{for} \quad \tau\approx 2^{-2m_0} \qquad (119)$$

The last statement follows from the following:

Lemma 11.6. *For $\tau\approx 2^{-2m_0}$ we have,*

$$J_m = 2^m\int_0^\tau (1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2}(\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau' \lesssim 2^{-|m-m_0|}$$

Proof : Indeed for $\tau\approx 2^{-2m_0}\le 2^{-2m}$

$$J_m \lesssim 2^m\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau' \lesssim 2^m\tau^{\frac12}\lesssim 2^{m-m_0} = 2^{-|m-m_0|}$$

For $\tau\approx 2^{-2m_0}\ge 2^{-2m}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_m &\lesssim 2^m\int_0^{\tau/2}(1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2}(\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau' + 2^m\int_{\tau/2}^\tau (1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2}(\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau'\\
&\lesssim 2^m\tau^{-1/2}\int_0^{\tau/2}(1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2}d\tau' + 2^m(1+2^{2m}\tau)^{-2}\tau^{1/2}\lesssim 2^{-m}\tau^{-1/2}\lesssim 2^{m_0-m}\\
&= 2^{-|m-m_0|}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Estimate for I_3. We rewrite I_3 as follows[23],

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau' U(\tau-\tau')\Delta U(\tau')f = \int_0^t ds \int_0^\tau d\tau' U(\tau-\tau')U(\tau')\Delta f \\ &= \tau \int_0^t ds \Delta U(\tau) f \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (117) and $\tau \approx 2^{-2m_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3(\tau)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \tau \|\Delta U(\tau) f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \tau \sum_m \|\Delta U(\tau) P_m f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_m \tau \cdot 2^{2m} \big(1+\tau\cdot 2^{2m}\big)^{-2} \|P_m f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_m 2^{2(m-m_0)} \big(1+2^{2(m-m_0)}\big)^{-2} \|P_m f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_m 2^{-|m-m_0|} \|P_m f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

Estimates for I_4. We proceed precisely as for I_2, by noticing that $\|A\|_{L_t^4 L_x^4} \lesssim \Delta_0$ in view of (29) and lemma 3.10,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_4(\tau)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12} \|(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A \cdot \nabla U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^1} \\ &\lesssim \|(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \cdot \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12} \|\nabla U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_m \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12} \|\nabla P_m U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_m 2^m \|P_m f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \int_0^\tau (1+2^{2m}\tau')^{-2} (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12} d\tau' \quad (121) \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \cdot \sum_m 2^{-|m-m_0|} \|P_m f\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \quad (122) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau \approx 2^{-2m_0}$.

Estimates for I_1 This is the most delicate term; indeed it is because of this term that we need to make the bootstrap assumption (114). The difficulty stems from the fact that we need to use the trace norm assumption $\|A\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \lesssim \Delta_0$ which means we have to bring the integration $\int_0^t$, along null geodesics, in front of $U(\tau-\tau')$ in the formula for I_1, see (118). This brings in the commutator between $\int_0^t$ and $U(\tau-\tau')$ which we shall treat according to the formula:

$$[\int_0^t, U(\tau-\tau')]g = \int_0^t [\nabla_L, U(\tau-\tau')] \int_0^{t'} g = \mathcal{L}(\tau-\tau') \int_0^t g. \tag{123}$$

[23]We neglect the factor $-\frac{2}{r}$ which plays no role in the estimates.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\Big(\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\Big)\,d\tau' + \int_0^\tau \mathcal{L}(\tau-\tau')\Big(\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\Big)\,d\tau' \\ &= I_{11}+I_{12} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.13 and the dual strong scalar Bernstein inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{11}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \int_0^\tau \|U(\tau-\tau')\int_0^t \text{div }(A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f)\|_{L^2_x} \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \|U(\tau-\tau')\int_0^t \nabla A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}d\tau'\big(\|A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_xL^1_t}+\|\nabla A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^1_xL^1_t}\big) \\ &\lesssim \Big(\|A\|_{L^\infty_xL^2_t}+\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\Big)\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}\|\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0\int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac12}\|\nabla U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, continuing exactly as for I_2,

$$\|I_{11}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \lesssim \Delta_0\sum_m 2^{-|m-m_0|}\|P_mf\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}, \quad \text{for} \quad \tau\approx 2^{-2m_0} \tag{124}$$

We now estimate I_{12} with the help of the bootstrap assumption (114),(115) According to these we have, for any smooth function g, the following bounds,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{L}(\tau')g\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \le 2M\sum_m 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|}\|P_mg\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}, \quad \tau'\in[\frac{\tau}{2},\tau], \quad \tau\approx 2^{-2m_0} \\ &\sup_{0\le\tau'\le 1}\|\mathcal{L}(\tau')g\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \le M\|g\|_{B^0}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{12}\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\int_0^\tau \mathcal{L}(\tau-\tau')\Big(\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2U(\tau')f\Big)\,ds\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|\mathcal{L}(\tau-\tau')\Big(\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2U(\tau')f\Big)\,ds\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \\ &+ \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^\tau \|\mathcal{L}(\tau-\tau')\Big(\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2U(\tau')f\Big)\,ds\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \\ &\lesssim 2M\int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\sum_m 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|}\|P_m\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2U(\tau')f\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \\ &+ M\int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^\tau \|\int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2U(\tau')f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} = \mathcal{I}_1+\mathcal{I}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Using the product Besov estimate (79) and the estimates (110), (111) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\tau} \| \int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}\, d\tau' &\lesssim \Delta_0 \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\tau} \|\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{\mathcal{P}^0}\, d\tau' \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \tau \sum_{k\geq 0} \min(2^{2k}, 2^{-2k}\tau^{-2}) \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-2|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \lesssim \Delta_0 M \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-2|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \tag{125}$$

To estimate $\mathcal{I}_1$ we observe that according to the estimate (81) of the remark 4.10

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_m \int_0^t A\cdot\nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \Big(\mathcal{N}_1[A] + \|A\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t}\Big)\cdot \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\sigma|m-k|} \|P_k \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\sigma|m-k|} \|P_k \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $\sigma > \epsilon$. Therefore, with the help of lemma 11.7 below,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_1 &\lesssim 2\Delta_0 M \sum_m 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\sigma|m-k|} \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|P_k \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 M \cdot \sum_m 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|P_m \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} = \Delta_0 M \cdot \mathcal{J} \\ &\lesssim \Delta_0 M \cdot \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Thus,

$$\|I_{12}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim M\Delta_0 \sum_m 2^{-|m-m_0|} \|P_m f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}, \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \approx 2^{-2m_0},$$

which ends the proof of the improved estimate (116) and therefore also of lemma 11.4. ■

It only remains to prove the following,

Lemma 11.7. *For $\tau \approx 2^{-2m_0}$ the integral $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^+ + \mathcal{J}^-$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^+ &= \sum_{m\geq m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|P_m \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ \mathcal{J}^- &= \sum_{0\leq m<m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|P_m \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

verifies the estimate,

$$\mathcal{J} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Proof :

1) *Estimates for* $\mathcal{J}_+$. We are in the case $m \geq m_0$ (or $2^{-2m} \leq \tau$) We decompose further

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_m \nabla^2 U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\leq m_0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} + \sum_{k\geq m} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &+ \sum_{m_0<k<m} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} = J_1 + J_2 + J_3\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_1^+, \mathcal{J}_2^+, \mathcal{J}_3^+$ be the corresponding contributions to $\mathcal{J}$. The term $\mathcal{J}_3$ is easiest to estimate,

$$J_3 = \sum_{m_0<k<m} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{m_0<k<m} \|\nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Using lemma 11.3 we obtain

$$\int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} J_3 \lesssim \sum_{m_0<k<m} \int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \|\Delta U(\tau')P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{m_0<k<m} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{J}_3^+ = \sum_{m>m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{m_0<k<m} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

To estimate $\mathcal{J}_1^+$ we proceed as follows. Applying the dual finite band property followed by the finite band property of the $P_k's$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 \lesssim 2^m \sum_{k\geq m} \|\nabla P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \sum_{m\geq k} 2^{m+k} \|P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\geq m} 2^{m-k} \|\Delta U(\tau')P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

Once again, lemma 11.3 gives

$$\int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} J_1 \lesssim \sum_{k\geq m} 2^{m-k} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{J}^+ = \sum_{m>m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{k\geq m} 2^{-|m-k|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \Delta_0 M \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}.$$

Finally, we have for J_2.

$$J_2 \lesssim \sum_{k<m_0} \|\nabla^2 P_k U(\tau')f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k<m_0} 2^{2k} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^{\frac{\tau}{2}} J_2 \lesssim \sum_{k<m_0} 2^{2k} \tau \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x} \lesssim \sum_{k<m_0} 2^{-2|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L^2_t L^2_x}.$$

and we infer that

$$\mathcal{J}_2^+ = \sum_{m>m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{k<m_0} 2^{-2|m_0-k|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

2) *Estimate for* $\mathcal{J}^-$. We are in the case $m \leq m_0$ ($2^{-2m} \geq \tau$) As before we decompose further as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_m \nabla^2 U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\leq m} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} + \sum_{k\geq m_0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \\
&+ \sum_{m<k<m_0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} = N_1 + N_2 + N_3
\end{aligned}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{J}_1^-, \mathcal{J}_2^-, \mathcal{J}_3^-$ the corresponding contributions to $\mathcal{J}^-$. The estimates for N_3 and $\mathcal{J}_3^-$ are identical to that for $J_3, \mathcal{J}_3^+$.

To estimate N_1 we proceed as follows.

$$N_1 \lesssim \sum_{k\leq m} \|\nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\leq m} 2^{2k} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}$$

Integrating in s and using that $\tau \approx 2^{-2m_0}$ we obtain the bound

$$\mathcal{J}_1^- = \sum_{m\leq m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{k\leq m} 2^{-2|m_0-k|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-2|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}$$

Finally, we have for N_2.

$$N_2 \lesssim \sum_{k\geq m_0} \|P_m \nabla^2 P_k U(\tau') f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq m_0} 2^{m-k} \|\Delta U(\tau') P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}$$

Integrating in s, using lemma 11.3, we obtain the estimate

$$\mathcal{J}_2^- = \sum_{m\leq m_0} 2^{-\epsilon|m-m_0|} \sum_{k\geq m_0} 2^{-|m-k|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

Combining the estimates involving $\mathcal{J}_1^+, \mathcal{J}_2^+, \mathcal{J}_3^+$ and $\mathcal{J}_1^-, \mathcal{J}_2^-, \mathcal{J}_3$ we conclude that

$$\mathcal{J} \lesssim \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-\epsilon|k-m_0|} \|P_k f\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

as desired. ■

12. Commutator estimates

This section we prove the commutator lemma 5.3 which we recall below.

Proposition 12.1. *For any smooth S-tangent tensor field F and an arbitrary $1 \leq q < 2$ the following estimate holds true*

$$\|[P_k, \nabla_L] f\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} + 2^{-k} \|\nabla [P_k, \nabla_L] f\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \tag{127}$$

In addition,

$$\|[P_k,\nabla_L]f\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}+2^{-k}\|\nabla[P_k,\nabla_L]f\|_{L^1_tL^2_x}\lesssim 2^{-k+}\mathcal{N}_1[F] \tag{128}$$

Proof : Using the definition of the LP projection P_k we obtain

$$[P_k,\nabla_L]F = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)[U(\tau),\nabla_L]F\,d\tau \tag{129}$$

$$\Phi(\tau) = [U(\tau),\nabla_L]F \tag{130}$$

To calculate $[U(\tau),\nabla_L]F$ we recall the commutator formula for $[\nabla_L,\Delta]$ from proposition 3.12

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_L,\Delta]F &= -\mathrm{tr}\chi\Delta F+A\cdot\nabla^2F+\nabla A\cdot\nabla F+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\cdot\nabla F\\ &+ \beta\cdot\nabla F+\nabla\big(\beta\cdot F+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\cdot F\big)\end{aligned}$$

which we rewrite in the simplified, symbolic, form,

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_L,\Delta]F &= \nabla\Big((\frac{1}{r}+A)\cdot\nabla F+\big(\beta+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\big)\cdot F\Big)\\ &+ \Big(\nabla A+\big(\beta+(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A\big)\Big)\cdot\nabla F\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the terms $(A+\frac{1}{r})\cdot A$ and ∇A have same or better estimates than β. Therefore we can discard them and simplify,

$$[\nabla_L,\Delta]F = \nabla\Big((\frac{1}{r}+A)\cdot\nabla F+\beta\cdot F\Big)+\beta\cdot\nabla F \tag{131}$$

Consequently, setting $\hat{A}=A+\frac{1}{r}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\tau) &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')[\Delta,\nabla_L]U(\tau')F\\ &= \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\nabla\Big(\hat{A}\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F+\beta U(\tau')F\Big)\\ &+ \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\beta\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F\\ &= \Phi_1(\tau)+\Phi_2(\tau)\end{aligned}$$

In what follows we shall rely on the following heat flow estimates:

Lemma 12.2. *For any* $2\le p<\infty$,

$$\|U(\tau)G\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \big(1+\|K\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\big)\|U(\tau'/2)G\|_{H^1_x}, \tag{132}$$

$$\|U(\tau)G\|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \langle\tau^{-\frac{1}{r}}\rangle\big(1+\|K\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{2}{r(p-1)}}\big)\|G\|_{L^r_x}, \qquad 2\le\forall r\le\infty \tag{133}$$

$$\|\nabla^2U(\tau)G\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim (\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\|K\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{p}{p-1}})\|U(\tau'/2)G\|_{H^1_x}. \tag{134}$$

Proof : The estimates follow easily, by interpolation, from the heat flow estimates of proposition 3.17combined with the Bochner and L^∞ inequalities (41), (40). ■

Our proof will now proceed as follows:

Step 1: We shall first establish the following estimates for Φ_1:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_1(\tau)\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{N}_1[F]\\ \|\Phi_1(\tau)\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}-},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{N}_1[F]\end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq q < 2$ close to 2 and $\tau > 0$.

Step 2: We show that Φ_2 verifies the weak estimate,

$$\|\Phi_2(\tau)\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}-},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+})\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

for any $1 \leq q < 2$ close to 2.

Step 3: Using the results above we conclude that the estimate (128) holds true.

Step 4: With the help of (128) we can improve the estimate for Φ_2 and derive the desired estimate (127).

12.3. $L^q_t L^2_x$ estimates for Φ_1.

Lemma 12.4. *For all $q < 2$ sufficiently close to $q = 2$ and any $\tau \geq 0$,*

$$\|\Phi_1(\tau)\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Proof : We start by estimating the expression

$$\phi_1(\tau') = \hat{A} \cdot \nabla U(\tau')F + \beta \cdot U(\tau')F$$

Applying Hölder inequality followed by Gagliardo-Nirenberg (39) and the estimates (132)-(134), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\hat{A} \cdot \nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta \cdot U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_x} \cdot \|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^4_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x} \cdot \|U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_x} \cdot \|\nabla^2 U(\tau')F\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x} \cdot \|\nabla U(\tau')F\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x} \cdot \|U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_x}\\ &\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_x}\big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \|K\|^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|U(\tau'/2)F\|_{H^1_x}\\ &+ \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\big(1 + \|K\|^{\frac{1}{2(p-1)}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|F\|_{L^4_x}\end{aligned}$$

Remark 12.5. To simplify the exposition we will take $p = \infty$ in the above estimate. Properly speaking this is not acceptable since the estimates of lemma 12.2 do not hold true for $p = \infty$. The correct proof requires the choice of an appropriate large value of $p < \infty$ dependent on the exponent $q < 2$. As long as we stay away from the critical exponent $q = 2$ one can easily correct the slightly idealized exposition below. We note in fact that the restriction to $q < 2$ is due to the presence of the Gauss curvature terms $\|K\|_{L^2_x}$, which were generated by the Böchner identity and

inequality for tensors. Many of the technical complications below are due to the presence of these terms.

Hence,

$$\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_x}\big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \|K\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|U(\tau'/2)F\|_{H^1_x} + \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle\|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\|F\|_{L^4_x}$$

Fix an exponent q, $1 \leq q < 2$ and use the interpolated heat flow estimate, see proposition 3.17:

$$\|U(\tau'/2)F\|_{H^1_x} \lesssim \langle\tau'^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q})}\rangle\|F\|^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1_x}\|F\|^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{q}}_{L^2_x}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_x}\Big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|F\|_{H^1_x} + \langle\tau'^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q})}\rangle\|K\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_x}\|F\|^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1_x}\|F\|^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{q}}_{L^2_x}\Big)\\ &+ \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle\|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\|F\|_{L^4_x}\end{aligned}$$

We now take the L^q_t-norm, use the assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **K1**

$$\|\hat{A}\|_{L^4_xL^\infty_t}, \|\beta\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}, \|K\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim 1,$$

apply the Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate (39), and the inequality $\|F\|_{L_t^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}L^4_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \Big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\|F\|_{L^q_tH^1_x} + \langle\tau'^{-(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q})}\rangle\|K\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_tL^2_x}\|F\|^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2_tH^1_x}\|F\|^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{q}}_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}\Big)\\ &+ \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle\|F\|_{L_t^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}L^4_x} \lesssim \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle\mathcal{N}_1[F]\end{aligned}$$

Returning to the estimates for $\Phi_1(\tau)$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_1(\tau)\|_{L^q_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\nabla\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-\frac{1}{2}}\langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle\mathcal{N}_1[F]\\ &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{N}_1[F] \qquad (135)\end{aligned}$$

■

12.6. $L^1_tL^2_x$ estimate for Φ_1.

In this section we derive an improved estimate for $\Phi_1(\tau)$ in the $L^1_tL^2_x$-norm.

Lemma 12.7. *For any $\tau \geq 0$,*

$$\|\Phi_1(\tau)\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}-}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Proof : Fix a sufficiently large exponent $r < \infty$. Then applying Hölder inequality followed by Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate and heat flow estimates (133), (134), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^2_x} &\lesssim \|\hat{A}\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\cdot U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^r_x}\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L_x^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\|U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^r_x}\|\nabla^2 U(\tau')F\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_x}\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|^{1-\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\cdot\|U(\tau')F\|_{L^\infty_x} \\
&\lesssim \|\hat{A}\|_{L^r_x}\big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}} + \|K\|^{\frac{2p}{r(p-1)}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|U(\tau'/2)F\|_{H^1_x} \\
&+ \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}}\rangle\|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\big(1+\|K\|^{\frac{2}{r(p-1)}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|F\|_{L^r_x}
\end{aligned}$$

Once again we set $p=\infty$, see remark 12.5,

$$\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \big(\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}} + \|K\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_x}\big)\|\hat{A}\|_{L^r_x}\|F\|_{H^1_x} + \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}}\rangle\|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\|F\|_{L^r_x} \tag{136}$$

Taking L^1_t-norm and using our assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **K1** we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1(\tau')\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}}\|\hat{A}\|_{L^2_tL^r_x}\|F\|_{L^2_tH^1_x} + \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}}\rangle\|\beta\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}\|F\|_{L^2_tL^r_x} \\
&+ \|\hat{A}\|_{L_t^{\frac{2r}{r-2}}L^r_x}\cdot\|K\|^{\frac{2}{r}}_{L^2_tL^2_x}\|F\|_{L^2_tH^1_x} \lesssim \langle\tau'^{-\frac{1}{r}}\rangle\mathcal{N}_1[F].
\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

for an arbitrarily large r.

To derive the last estimate we have used lemma 12.8 below.

Finally we recall that $\Phi_1(\tau) = \int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\nabla\phi_1(\tau')$ and proceeding as before, with r arbitrarily large, we finish the proof. ■

Lemma 12.8. *For any $2 \le p < \infty$ and $2 \le q < \frac{2p}{p-4}$*

$$\|F\|_{L^q_tL^p_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Proof : The proof follows immediately by interpolating between the following:

$$\|F\|_{L^2_tL^r_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F], \qquad \|F\|_{L^\infty_tL^4_x} \lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F].$$

■

12.9. $L^q_tL^2_x$ **estimates for Φ_2.**

Lemma 12.10. *For any $1 \le q < 2$ and all $\tau \ge 0$,*

$$\|\Phi_2(\tau)\|_{L^q_tL^2_x} \lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}-},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+})\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Proof : We start by estimating the function

$$\phi_2(\tau') = \nabla A\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F + \beta\cdot\nabla U(\tau')F$$

Fix $r > 1$ sufficiently close to $r = 1$ and apply Hölder inequality followed by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^r_x} &\lesssim \Big(\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\Big)\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L_x^{\frac{2r}{2-r}}}\\ &\lesssim \Big(\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\Big)\|\nabla^2 U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x}^{2-\frac{2}{r}}\|\nabla U(\tau')F\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{2}{r}-1}.\end{aligned}$$

We now use estimate (134) to obtain

$$\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^r_x} \lesssim \Big(\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\Big)\Big(\tau^{-\frac{r-1}{r}} + \|K\|_{L^2_x}^{2\frac{p(r-1)}{r(p-1)}}\Big)\|U(\tau'/2)F\|_{H^1_x}$$

Proceeding in the spirit of remark 12.5 we set $r = 1$ on the right hand side and replace the above inequality with,

$$\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^r_x} \lesssim \Big(\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_x} + \|\beta\|_{L^2_x}\Big)\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L^2_x} \tag{138}$$

For a given exponent q we use the standard heat flow estimates $\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \tau'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|F\|_{L^2_x}$ and $\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \|\nabla F\|_{L^2_x}$ to write

$$\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \tau'^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}\|\nabla F\|_{L^2_x}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}\|F\|_{L^2_x}^{2-\frac{2}{q}}$$

Now taking the L^q_t-norm and using, $\|\nabla A\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}, \|\beta\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \lesssim \Delta_0 \lesssim 1,$ we derive with the help of Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^r_x} &\lesssim \tau'^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}\|\nabla F\|_{L^2_tL^2_x}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}\|F\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x}^{2-\frac{2}{q}} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^q_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \langle\tau'^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}\rangle\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]\end{aligned}$$

Returning to the estimates for $\Phi_2(\tau)$ and applying the dual weak Bernstein inequality $L^2 \to L^r$, see (49), for some $r > 1$ sufficiently close to $r = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^2_x} &\lesssim \|\int_0^\tau U(\tau-\tau')\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^2_x}\\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})}\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L^q_tL^r_x}\\ &\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau-\tau')^{-(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})}\langle\tau'\rangle^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]\\ &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}},\,\tau^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{r}})\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]\\ &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}},\,\tau^{\frac{1}{2}+})\,\mathcal{N}_1[F]\end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

■

We can now finish the proof of proposition 12.1 for the case of $q = 1$. Recall that

$$[P_k, \nabla_L]F = \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau)\Phi(\tau)\,d\tau,$$

where $\Phi(\tau) = \Phi_1(\tau) + \Phi_2(\tau)$. Combining the results of lemmas 12.7 and 12.10 we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}-}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+}) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \big(\int_0^1 m_k(\tau)\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-} + \int_1^\infty m_k(\tau) \cdot \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+} \big) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \cdot \big(2^{-k+} \int_0^{2^{2k}} m(\tau)\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-} + 2^{-k-} \int_{2^{2k}}^\infty m(\tau)\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+} \big) \\
&\lesssim 2^{-k+} \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F] \qquad (140)
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the estimate for the term $\|\nabla[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x}$ we need to control $\nabla\Phi_1(\tau)$ and $\nabla\Phi_2(\tau)$. Observe that the tensor-fields $\Phi_j(\tau)$, $j = 1, 2$ are the solutions of the respective heat equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\tau \Phi_1 - \Delta\Phi_1 = \nabla\phi_1, \qquad \Phi_1|_{\tau=0} = 0, \\
&\partial_\tau \Phi_2 - \Delta\Phi_2 = \phi_2, \qquad \Phi_2|_{\tau=0} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The standard heat flow estimates imply that

$$\|\nabla\Phi_1\|_{L^2_\tau L^2_x} \lesssim \|\phi_1\|_{L^2_\tau L^2_x}, \qquad (141)$$

$$\|\nabla\Phi_2\|_{L^2_\tau L^2_x} \lesssim \|\phi_2\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{3p-2}}_\tau L^p_x}, \qquad 1 \le p \le 2 \qquad (142)$$

where $\| \, \|_{L^2_\tau}$ refer to the L^2 norm on the interval $[0, \tau]$. The proof of 141 follows by multiplying the heat equation for Φ_1 by Φ_1 and integrating by parts. Estimate (142) follows in the same manner, replacing the integration by parts by an appropriate use of Hölder and interpolation.

Taking the L^2_τ norm of the equations (136) and (138) followed by the L^1_t norm we derive, for any $p > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 and all sufficiently large r,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1\|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r}}) \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
\|\phi_2\|_{L^1_t L^{\frac{2p}{3p-2}}_\tau L^p_x} &\lesssim \tau^{\frac{3p-2}{2p}} \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\Phi_1\|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-}) \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
\|\nabla\Phi_2\|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} &\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-}) \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

One can, by a standard argument, convert the above into the following weighted estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \min(\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}+}) \nabla\Phi_1 \|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
\| \min(\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}+}) \nabla\Phi_2 \|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} &\lesssim \mathcal{N}_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla[P_k, \nabla_L]F\|_{L^1_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \| \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) \nabla\Phi(\tau) \|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \| \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}-}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+})\, m_k(\tau) \|_{L^2_\tau} \| \min(\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}+}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-})\, \nabla\Phi_j \|_{L^1_t L^2_\tau L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim 2^k 2^{-k+} \mathcal{N}_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of proposition 12.1 for an arbitrary $q < 2$ we first need to obtain improved estimates for Φ_2.

12.11. **Improved estimates for Φ_2.**

Lemma 12.12. *For all* $1 \leq q < 2$ *and any* $\tau \geq 0$

$$\|\Phi_2(\tau)\|_{L_t^q L_x^2} \lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}-},\, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}})\, N_1[F]$$

Proof : We start by proceeding in the same way as in the estimates for $\Phi_2(\tau)$ of lemma 12.10 until equation (138),

$$\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L_x^r} \lesssim \Big(\|\nabla A\|_{L_x^2} + \|\beta\|_{L_x^2}\Big)\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_x^2}$$

Taking the L_t^q-norm,

$$\|\phi_2(\tau')\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^{\frac{2q}{2-q}} L_x^2} \lesssim \|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$$

We now estimate the term $\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$. Squaring, and integrating by parts in t and commuting ∇_L with ∇ and then with $U(\tau'/2)$ and then using the heat flow estimates we derive,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|^2_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_L \nabla U(\tau'/2)F \cdot \nabla U(\tau'/2)F + (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla\nabla_L U(\tau'/2)F \cdot \nabla U(\tau'/2)F \\
&+ \|\,[\nabla, \nabla_L]U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla U(\tau'/2)\nabla_L F \cdot \nabla U(\tau'/2)F \\
&+ \|\,[\nabla_L, U(\tau'/2)]F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \|\Delta U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&+ \|\,[\nabla, \nabla_L]U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^2 \\
&\lesssim \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rangle (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^2 + \|\,[\nabla_L, U(\tau'/2)]F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \|\Delta U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&+ \|\,[\nabla, \nabla_L]U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since

$$\|\Delta U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim (\tau')^{-1}\|F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim (\tau')^{-1}\mathcal{N}_1[F],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}}\rangle \mathcal{N}_1[F] + \tau'^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\,[\nabla_L, U(\tau'/2)]F\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \cdot (\mathcal{N}_1[F])^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \|\,[\nabla, \nabla_L]U(\tau'/2)F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \qquad (143)
\end{aligned}$$

The results of lemmas 12.7 and 12.12 imply that

$$\|\,[\nabla_L, U(\tau'/2)]F\|_{L_t^1 L_x^2} \lesssim \max(\tau'^{\frac{1}{2}-},\, \tau'^{\frac{1}{2}+})\, \mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

On the other hand, observe that we can write the commutator formula for $[\nabla, \nabla_L]$ in the form $[\nabla, \nabla_L] = \hat{A} \cdot \nabla + \beta\cdot$. Therefore remembering the definition of $\phi_1(\tau)$,

$$[\nabla, \nabla_L]U(\tau'/2)F \approx \phi_1(\tau'/2)$$

Thus, in view of 137,

$$\| [\nabla, \nabla_L] U(\tau'/2) F \|_{L^1_t L^2_x} \lesssim \langle (\tau')^{0-} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

Returning to (143) we infer that

$$\| \nabla U(\tau'/2) F \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}-} \rangle \mathcal{N}_1[F].$$

It then follows that

$$\| \phi_2(\tau') \|_{L^q_t L^r_x} \lesssim \| \nabla U(\tau'/2) F \|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}-} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{N}_1[F].$$

Proceeding as in lemma 12.10 we obtain for $r > 1$ sufficiently close to $r = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \Phi_2(\tau) \|_{L^q_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \| \int_0^\tau U(\tau - \tau') \phi_2(\tau') \|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \\
&\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau - \tau')^{-(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2})} \| \phi_2(\tau') \|_{L^q_t L^r_x} \\
&\lesssim \int_0^\tau (\tau - \tau')^{-(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2})} \langle \tau'^{-\frac{1}{4}-} \rangle \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
&\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{r}-}, \tau^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{r}}) N_1[F] \\
&\lesssim \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}-}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}) N_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

■

We can now finish the proof of proposition 12.1.

Combining the results of lemmas 12.4 and 12.12 we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\| [P_k, \nabla_L] F \|_{L^q_t L^2_x} &\lesssim \int_0^\infty m_k(\tau) \max(\tau^{\frac{1}{4}-}, \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}) \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
&\lesssim \int_0^1 m_k(\tau) \tau^{\frac{1}{4}-} \mathcal{N}_1[F] + \int_1^\infty m_k(\tau) \tau^{\frac{1}{2}+} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+} \int_0^{2^{2k}} m(\tau) \tau^{\frac{1}{4}-} \mathcal{N}_1[F] + 2^{-k} \int_{2^{2k}}^\infty m(\tau) \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_1[F] \\
&\lesssim 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+} \mathcal{N}_1[F]
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the case of the $L^1_t L^2_x$ estimates can we also obtain that

$$\| \nabla [P_k, \nabla_L] F \|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim 2^k 2^{-\frac{k}{2}+} \mathcal{N}_1[F]$$

■

References

[B] J.M. Bony *Calcul Symbolique et propagation des singularité pour les equations aux dérivées partielles nonlinéares*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup **14**, 209-256.

[Chr-Kl] D.Christodoulou and S. Klainerman. *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space.* Princeton Mathematical Series, 41. Princeton University Press, 1993

[Kl1] S. Klainerman, *PDE as a unified subject* Special Volume GAFA 2000, 279-315

[Kl-Nic] S. Klainerman and F. Nicolo, *The Evolution problem in General Relativity*, Progress in Mathematical Physics 25, Birkhauser.

[Kl-Rodn1] S. Klainerman and I. Rodnianski, *Causal geometry of Einstein-Vacuum spacetimes with finite curvature flux.* preprint

[Kl-Rodn2] S. Klainerman and I. Rodnianski, *A geometric version of Litlewood-Paley theory.* preprint

[Stein1] E. Stein *Harmonic analysis* Princeton University Press, 1993

[Stein2] E. *Stein Topics in harmonic analysis related to Littlewood-Paley theory*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Princeton University Press **63**, 1970

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544

E-mail address: `seri@math.princeton.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544

E-mail address: `irod@math.princeton.edu`